

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_118534
Title of the Manuscript:	Pleural amebiasis : A case report
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Make it more attractive</p> <p>3. May be changed to be more comprehensive</p> <p>4. Appropriate</p> <p>5. Correct , but more points may be added</p> <p>6. Recent references should be added</p> <p>More points should be added a) the description of macroscopic appearance of the pus aspirated b) add more points on microscopic appearance : status of pus cells and tissue debris c) what all tests were done to rule out tuberculosis d) kit of which company was used for serological test e) why ELISA test was not used f) what is the cause for epiduritis? g) According to the reference No (6) the route of transmission by inhalation is hypothetical. Why this reference is added? The statement given in the MS may be removed. h) Patient is put on various antibiotics. The role of antibiotics in this case may be clarified.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>May be changed to become more comprehensive</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Repetition of sentences in abstract and case report. The outcome and if any follow up has been done on the case; it also may be added</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suseela KV
Department, University & Country	KUHS, India