

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJRAF_117931
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF GROWTH PERFORMANCE AND BLOOD PROFILE OF RABBIT BUCKS FED DIETARY INCLUSION LEVELS OF <i>Moringa oleifera</i> LEAF MEAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant to the areas of food technology aimed at animals and rural economy or zootechnics</p> <p>Yes, the title is appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Only few corrections made to the text</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The authors should complement the conclusion by including their opinion on whether or not to recommend the addition of Moringa oleifera to the rabbits' diet, considering that no adverse effects were observed for the animals. Additional studies must be carried out to find out whether the consumption of rabbits fed with this supplement can bring benefits or harm to humans.</p> <p>Only few corrections along the text</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Christiane Soares Pereira Madeira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brazil</b>