

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JMSRR_118460</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Phytochemical Evaluation and In-silico Studies of Bioactive Compound from the Seed of Afrostryraxlepidophyllus to Identify Potential Anti-inflammatory Agents</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5.</b></p> <p><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript is well written for the study of bioactive compounds. Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes,</b></p> <p><b>Yes,</b></p> <p><b>Yes,</b></p> <p><b>Recent references must be included in the discussion part.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b>REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b>comments</p>	<p><b>The source and date of the plate1 and plate 2 is missing. Results given in Table 3 needs more interpretation with proper citation and discussion in a separate paragraph to highlight the importance of Docking Results of Interaction and Amino Acids. Proper references and source of figures 1-7 must be mentioned and discussed accordingly. Future aspects of the present work must be mentioned in the conclusion part.</b></p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dhritiman Chanda</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Science and Technology, India</b>

