

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_118395
Title of the Manuscript:	Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST) – 3 Case Reports
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In my opinion the manuscript is important for scientific community as it reports three different locations of a relatively rare tumor and could provide more data to researchers interested in gastrointestinal stromal tumors.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable, direct, and declarative. However, it would be better to replace the dash (–) with colons (:)</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>Regarding the structure of the manuscript, I wonder if “Types of GIST, Symptoms of GISTs, Diagnosis and CT imaging Classification” are subsections included in the Introduction section or they are independent sections. I would be grateful if author remove this ambiguity. In “Case Reports” section, follow up in “case I” should be the last to mention after therapeutic approach. In discussion section, “Survival rates” should be an independent subsection not included in “Supportive care” subsection.</p> <p>In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent. However, I have a few comments -Author(s) should give references in Vancouver style. Reference 9 in the manuscript does not follow this rule. -Place the references in square brackets [] before the closing punctuation mark in the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Although English quality of the manuscript is relatively acceptable, there are a lot of spelling and typographical mistakes!</p> <p>In Case I Duodenal GIST: In line 1: change “centre” to “center” In line 4: remove the comma between “heterogenic” and “mass” In line 9: replace was with were and remove the point after “propria” In line 10: change smoot to smooth. There is a missing correlation between "On gross specimen of 8x6 cm" and "spherical in shape with smooth margin"</p> <p>In Case II Spontaneous perforation of jejunal GIST: In line 1: change “centre” to “center” In line 2; remove the comma and add the verb between "Plain x-ray abdomen" and “gas under diaphragm” In line 5: replace “abdomen all signs of” with “abdominal signs of” In line 6: add a verb to the last sentence and change “leucocyte” to “leukocyte” In line 11: change polypoidal to polypoid and jejunal to jejuna In line 18; replace high power fluids with high power field</p> <p>In Case III Extra luminal transverse colon GIST: In line 1: change “centre” to “center”</p> <p>In Discussion: In line 2: change mesenchimal to mesenchymal Replace tumour(s) with tumor(s)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asmaa Kouadir
Department, University & Country	Al Ghassani Hospital, Morocco