

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_ARJASS_117757
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender frames portrayed in television series: real-life implications towards women
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Yes. Gender roles in television continue and promotes gender stereotypes, and eventually gender violence.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it has minor grammatical errors. I suggest a new review related with English writing.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes. I suggest that actors review articles related with feminism and colonialism, because T.V media act also as a tool for it. I suggest the lecture of feminist women theorist like Marcela Lagarde or Ochi Curiel, because even in the feminism approach and analysis of gender theories, the discourse of white and privileged women predominates the scientific papers.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The paper and research is relevant and necessary,; indeed, the conclusion section in weak because the voice of the authors isn't strong or provocative. I suggest two or three more paragraphs that contextualize the cultural problem and some possible solutions, perhaps related with public or educational policies. Also, in the introduction, it could be useful make visible the geographical and cultural context of study participants; although the problem addressed is global, the place of origin of the main objective of the study is relevant for contextualize the problem statement.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Orlando Reynoso Orozco</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad de Guadalajara, México</b>