

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_118391</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Oral health-related quality of life in response to root canal treatment in European population: a systematic review</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.Yes, it is important as it based on the oral health related quality of life and very relevant topic which is subjective and include the higher quotient level of well being for the patient as well as the operator.</p> <p>2.Title is good. The other relevant suitable title would be- i."Impact of Root Canal Treatment on Oral health –related quality of life in European population.-a systematic review ii."Influence of Root Canal Treatment and the outcome on Oral health –related quality of life in European population-a systematic review</p> <p>3.Article is comprehensive as it touches every part of the related topic the reference which is taken to far is relevant and suitable for the outlook of the article.</p> <p>4.This subsections and structure is appropriate.The charts and systematic reviews that the author has found is appropriate and I found it well.</p> <p>5.The manuscript is scientifically correct and I found it well written where methodology include well written study design and exclusion and inclusion criteria. Results and discussion should be more improvise and that is based on its literature and its written part.</p> <p>6.Reference is sufficient, and its appropriate, meanwhile author should inculcate more of the reference article such Quality of life and satisfaction of patients after nonsurgical primary root canal treatment provided by undergraduate students, graduate students and endodontic specialists. Hamasha AA, Hatiwsh A. <i>Int Endod J.</i> 2013;46:1131–1139. Antunes, Leonardo S., et al. "Does Conventional Endodontic Treatment Impact Oral Health-rnlated Quality of Life? A Systematic Review." <i>European Endodontic Journal</i>, vol. 3, no. 1, Jan. 2018, pp.2+. <i>GaleAcademicOneFile</i>, link.gale.com/apps/doc/A559690545/AONE?u=anon~7c9abc56&amp;sid=googleScholar&amp;xid=231ea761. Accessed 4 June 2024</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English is satisfactory, author can work on it .</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anuradha Pandey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bhabha University, India</b>