

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_118389</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Revolutionary technology in horticultural crops</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Numbering the manuscript's lines would be better to facilitate the reviewing process. Please consider that in the revised version.</li> <li>2. I suggest adding an introductory sentence at the beginning of the introduction section that emphasizes the importance of horticultural crops: "Maximizing the productivity of the horticultural crops is an imperative task (Al-Gezawe <i>et al.</i>, 2023) Ref. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.35633/inmateh-69-35">http://dx.doi.org/10.35633/inmateh-69-35</a></li> <li>3. The authors should follow the journal's guidelines when writing the manuscript's headings because some are written to capitalize each word, and others are not. Please consider this comment throughout the manuscript's text.</li> <li>4. The literature review (FAO, 2017) in section 1.2 is not cited in the references list. Please revise it and ensure all literature reviews within the manuscript's text are cited in the references list.</li> <li>5. In section 4, please replace IOT with IoT.</li> <li>6. The literature review (Blok <i>et al.</i>, 2016) is not cited in the references list. Please consider this comment for all reviews.</li> <li>7. Please replace the literature review Sardare <i>et al.</i>, 2023 with Sardare and Admane 2023 because only two authors are cited in the references list. Address this comment for all reviews that contain only two authors.</li> <li>8. The references list should be formatted according to the journal's guidelines, and this section needs to be revised in terms of formatting.</li> </ol>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mahmoud Okasha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agricultural Research Center, Egypt</b>