

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_115041
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Climate Change on the Education of Coastal Bhola District
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, important. The importance lies in ensuring environmental safety, safe learning conditions for children, and most importantly - preserving human lives.</p> <p>2. Yes, suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes. Raising an important issue, namely: preserving the entire coastal area in southern Bangladesh, Bhola.</p> <p>4. In my opinion, yes.</p> <p>5. Yes. Since the topic is global, important, and valuable. See also points 1, 3, 6.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p> <p>Wish: to make the captions for tables and figures the same throughout the text of the article. The location of the inscriptions (above or below) should also be the same.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is worth noting Table 2: Drivers Connecting students' dropout. Thoroughly revealed. Based on the text of the article, it appears that the author/authors have raised a pressing issue not only for Bhola but also for themselves.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Belei Oksana Ihorivna
Department, University & Country	Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical University of Oil and Gas, Ukraine