

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_118161
Title of the Manuscript:	Ebstein anomaly in an adolescent: A 'Miranda warning' against blaming Sickle Cell Cardiomyopathy - A case-based scholarly update
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript publication is a vital part of the scientific process and an important way for researchers to share their ideas and knowledge with scientific community. 2. Yes. The title summarises the main idea of the article. 3. The abstract is brief and comprehensive allowing the reader to survey the contents of the article quickly. 4. Yes. It is appropriate. 5. I believe the manuscript looks appropriate for publication. 6. Yes. The references are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Among the many factors that influence citation practice in scholarly communication, the language of publication plays a key role. 2. Yes, suitable. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript has been explained in a brief, clear and comprehensive manner.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mathiana Basha
Department, University & Country	The Tamilnadu Dr. MGR medical University, Government Vellore Medical College and Hospital, India