

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_116222
Title of the Manuscript:	RAINFALL PATTERN IN KENYA; BAYESIAN NON-PARAMETRIC MODEL BASED ON THE NORMALIZED GENERALIZED GAMMA PROCESS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>express your innovation and contribution clearly in the abstract and show that your innovation is in terms of spatial and temporal data or that this modelling is used for the first time in the field of your research.</p> <p>In the introduction section, take a brief look at the other papers that have already used this modelling in both related and unrelated fields.</p> <p>The number of tables to report the results is too large and scattered. Try to present the report in a coherent and concise manner .Table 19 and similar tables should be converted into graphs.</p> <p>The results section does not have the formatting of a scientific and research paper. Avoid explaining the subject literature in the results section and only report the results of the modelling in a summary form (two to three paragraphs).</p> <p>There are many articles from 2020 onwards in the field of non-parametric Bayesian models. It is better to update the sources to improve the quality of the paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pegah Mahdavi
Department, University & Country	University of Mazandaran, Iran