

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_118350</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Gender Equality and Economic Growth in Zambia</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript could be useful as some analytical inputs are required. The author needs to look after the practical approach of the manuscript as appropriate data is necessary to look after the policy implications.</p> <p>2. The title of the article may be more enhanced- Gender Equality and Economic Growth in the Lusaka district of Zambia.</p> <p>3. The abstract must mention that the author has selected both the genders for data collection. It is only focusing on women and in the manuscript suddenly for the data collection process, men also becomes a part for study.</p> <p>4. the conclusion and recommendation section needs to be more robust with the data collected from the field.</p> <p>5. Yes, with the suggestions above.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Lukasa district seems to be well off as compared to the other poor districts. Proper reason needs to be mentioned for the selection of the district.</p> <p>2. A brief discussion about the questions which were asked to the participants should also be mentioned in the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The act of gender equality and equity needs to be mentioned in the paper.</p> <p>4. Any work which is done by the NGO in the particular district or the country for the empowerment and development of women could be mentioned and discussed.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pranjali S. Dighe
Department, University & Country	Government Arts College, Gandhinagar, India