

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114846
Title of the Manuscript:	Secure and sustainable handling of medical waste in healthcare facilities
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>7. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is paramount for the scientific community. Not only does it safeguard public health by preventing the spread of infectious diseases, but it also mitigates environmental impact and ensures compliance with regulations. Moreover, it is cost savings for healthcare facilities, protect the environment, and promote the well-being of communities worldwide.</p> <p>"Safe Hands, Safe Earth: Prioritizing Security and Sustainability in Medical Waste Management" could be option.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The proposed structure has not effectively organize the content and lack clarity in presenting the key concepts and findings and no sufficient exploration or discussion of certain topics, Can include the following</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • strategies for preventing unauthorized access to medical waste and minimizing the risk of theft or misuse. • focusing on sustainable waste management strategies, including waste reduction, recycling, and energy recovery. • Use of tables and graph <p>I appreciate the effort put by author , but I have noticed there is lack of various aspects of secure and sustainable medical waste handling. I think it would be good if the author provides additional facts. Needs further modification</p> <p>Highlight the need for continuous improvement and innovation in medical waste management practices. Emphasize the importance of conducting risk assessments to identify potential hazards associated with medical waste handling and disposal. personal protective equipment (PPE) for healthcare workers.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prerna khati
Department, University & Country	Mangalyatan University, India