

# Effect of some chemicals and biopesticides against yellow stem borer [*Scirpophagaincertulas*(Walker)] at Prayagraj

## ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted at the research field of Department of Entomology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences Prayagraj, during *Kharif* season 2023. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications, Eight treatments were evaluated against yellow stem borer *Scirpophagaincertulas*viz., Spinosad 45SC @ 0.2ml/l, *Beauveria bassiana*( $1 \times 10^8$ CFU/g) @1.5 g/l, Fipronil 5SC @ 2ml/l, Acephate95SG @ 682ga.i/ha,*Metarhiziumanisopliae*(1.15% CFU) @2000g/ha,Lamda Cyhalothrin 5EC@ 0.5ml/l, *Bacillus thuringiensis* ( $2 \times 10^9$  POB) @1.5g/l, and Control. Among the different chemical and biopesticides, the pooled analysis of per cent dead hearts were recorded least in Spinosad45SC which was the most effective treatment with (12.98% and 13.93%) mean dead heart percent , followed by Lamda Cyhalothrin 5EC (13.27% and 14.63%), Acephate95SG (13.58% and 15.06%) and Fipronil 5SC (14.10% and 15.29%).The next best treatments were found to be *Beauveria bassiana*( $1 \times 10^8$ CFU/g) (14.38% and 15.67%), *Bacillus thuringiensis* ( $2 \times 10^9$  POB) (14.64 and 15.94%), *Metarhiziumanisopliae*(1.15%CFU) (14.97 and 16.19%) and the highest dead heart percent infestation of *Scirpophagaincertulas*(Walker) was found in Control (15.77% and 18.54%). The highest yield and cost benefit ratio was recorded from Spinosad (51.5q/hac) and (1:4.51), followed by LamdaCyhlothrin (45q/hac) and (1:4.35), Acephate (43q/ha c) and (1:4.18).

---

**Key Words:** Biopesticides, Chemicals, Cost Benefit Ratio, Efficacy, Paddy, *Scirpophagaincertulas*.

## Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa*) is the most important cereal food crop in the world, belongs to family *Poaceae*. The word “Cereals” comes from “Ceres”, name of a Roman Goddess, means ‘Giver of Grains’. Rice is the major staple food for more than half of the World’s population [13-15]. “It is a grain of life for more than 90% of the world’s rice is grown and consumed in Asia, known as rice bowl of the world, where 60% of the earth’s people and two third of world’s poor lives. Rice crop is infested with more than 100 species of insects, but 20 species are of economic importance. Insect pests viz., stem borer, leaf folder, gall midge and plant hoppers are the major constraints in achieving desired level of rice yield. Yellow stem borer is one of the widely distributed, dominant and monophagous pest of paddy in the Indian subcontinent and forms dead hearts in younger plant at the vegetative stages result in destruction of growing point and white ears head bearing panicles at the panicle bearing stage in older plant. The average yield loss in rice have been accounted for 30% loss in stem borers” [13].

## Material and Method

Field experiment was conducted at Central Research Farm of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Science, Prayagraj, UP during *Kharif* season 2023-24. The site selected was uniform, cultivable with typical sandy loam soil having good drainage. Trail was laid out in randomized block design consist of eight treatment including control. Each treatment was replicated thrice, and Basmati PB1121 seeds were sown and transplanted at a spacing of 20×15cm. The treatment used were Spinosad 45SC@0.2ml/l, *Beauveria bassiana*( $1 \times 10^8$  CFU/g)@1.5g/l, Fipronil 5SC@2ml/l, Acephate 95SG@682ga.i/ha, *Metarhiziumanisopliae*(1.15% CFU)@2000g/h Lamda Cyhalothrin 5EC@0.5ml, *Bacillus thuringiensis*( $2 \times 10^9$  POB)@1.5g/l. Two spray were done on 8<sup>th</sup> September 2023 and second on 23<sup>rd</sup> September 2023. The observations on no. of dead heart were recorded on five randomly selected plants per treatment. First count was done one day before application of treatment and post treatments counts were made after 3, 7 and 14 days. Two sprays were given with an interval of 15 days.

## Result

All insecticides were significantly superior over control in reducing the dead heart percent of stem borer recorded at 3<sup>rd</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> day after first spray (table 1). Among all the treatment Spinosad (12.98%) was found to be superior but it was lower than Lamda Cyhalothrin (13.27%) which was the check treatment followed by Acephate (13.58%), Fipronil (14.10%), *Beauveria bassiana*(14.38%). However, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (14.64%) and *Metarhiziumanisopilae* (14.97%) found to be least effective in managing yellow stem borer.

**Table 1) Efficacy of different treatments against yellow stem borer [*Scirpophagaincertulas*] during *kharif* season 2023-24.**

S.N.	Treatments	Dead heart (%)								Yield q/ha	C:B ratio	
		Day Before spray	1 <sup>st</sup> Spray				2 <sup>nd</sup> Spray					
			3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	Mean	3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS			Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	Spinosad 45SC@0.2ml/l	11.51	12.27 <sup>C</sup>	13.11 <sup>e</sup>	13.58 <sup>d</sup>	12.98 <sup>f</sup>	13.75 <sup>d</sup>	13.96 <sup>e</sup>	14.09 <sup>e</sup>	13.93 <sup>f</sup>	51.5	1:4.51
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> (1x10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/g) @1.5g/l	11.50	13.58 <sup>abc</sup>	14.55 <sup>abc</sup>	15.02 <sup>abc</sup>	14.38 <sup>cd</sup>	15.29 <sup>bc</sup>	15.55 <sup>bc</sup>	16.18 <sup>bcd</sup>	15.67 <sup>bc</sup>	35.5	1:3.39
T <sub>3</sub>	Fipronil 5SC @2ml/l	11.66	13.12 <sup>bc</sup>	14.31 <sup>bcd</sup>	14.88 <sup>bc</sup>	14.10 <sup>d</sup>	15.03 <sup>bc</sup>	15.29 <sup>bcd</sup>	15.56 <sup>bcd</sup>	15.29 <sup>cd</sup>	37	1:3.43
T <sub>4</sub>	Acephate95SG@682g a.i/ha	11.57	12.45 <sup>c</sup>	13.75 <sup>cde</sup>	14.55 <sup>bcd</sup>	13.58 <sup>e</sup>	14.88 <sup>bcd</sup>	15.03 <sup>cd</sup>	15.29 <sup>cde</sup>	15.06 <sup>de</sup>	43	1:4.18

T <sub>5</sub>	<i>Metarhiziumanisopilae</i> (1.15%CFU) @2000g/ha	11.28	14.09 <sup>ab</sup>	15.29 <sup>ab</sup>	15.55 <sup>ab</sup>	14.97 <sup>b</sup>	15.76 <sup>b</sup>	16.18 <sup>b</sup>	16.65 <sup>b</sup>	16.19 <sup>b</sup>	29.5	1:2.83
T <sub>6</sub>	Lamda Cyhalothrin 5EC@0.5ml/l	11.43	12.38 <sup>c</sup>	13.34 <sup>de</sup>	14.09 <sup>cd</sup>	13.27 <sup>ef</sup>	14.31 <sup>cd</sup>	14.55 <sup>de</sup>	15.03 <sup>de</sup>	14.63 <sup>e</sup>	45	1:4.35
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> Var( <i>kurstaki</i> )(2x10 <sup>9</sup> POB) @1.5g/l	11.33	13.60 <sup>abc</sup>	15.03 <sup>ab</sup>	15.29 <sup>abc</sup>	14.64 <sup>bc</sup>	15.56 <sup>b</sup>	15.76 <sup>bc</sup>	16.50 <sup>bc</sup>	15.94 <sup>b</sup>	31.5	1:2.94
T <sub>8</sub>	Control	11.44	14.95 <sup>a</sup>	15.56 <sup>a</sup>	16.82 <sup>a</sup>	15.77 <sup>a</sup>	17.37 <sup>a</sup>	18.54 <sup>a</sup>	19.72 <sup>a</sup>	18.54 <sup>a</sup>	25	1:2.46
F-Test		NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		
S.Ed.(±)		NS	0.74	0.50	0.55	0.18	0.89	0.58	0.60	0.29		
CD (0.05) (0.05)		NS	1.59	1.11	1.27	0.35	1.18	0.97	1.34	0.56		

## Discussion and conclusion

From the present study, the results showed that Spinosad 45SC (T<sub>1</sub>), is the most effective treatment against yellow stem borer and produces maximum yield, and recorded the highest Cost-Benefit ratio compared to other treatments. From the critical analysis of the present findings it can be concluded that yellow stem borer increase with maximum temperature and decreased with decline in minimum temperature. Insecticides like Lamda Cyhalothrin 5EC (T<sub>6</sub>) and Acephate 95SG (T<sub>4</sub>) was found significantly superior than other treatments.

Followed next effective treatment found was Fipronil(T<sub>3</sub>), *Beauveria bassiana*( $1 \times 10^8$  CFU/g) (T<sub>2</sub>). However, *Bacillus thuringiensis* ( $2 \times 10^9$  POB) (T<sub>7</sub>) and *Metarhiziumanisopilae*(T<sub>5</sub>) found to be least effective in managing yellow stem borer [*Scirpophagaincertulas*(Walker)] as an effective tool under chemical control. Hence, it is suggested that effective insecticides may be alternated along with biopesticides with the existing Integrated pest management programs to avoid the problems associated with insecticidal resistance, pest resurgence etc.

The data on 3,7,14 days after 2<sup>nd</sup> spray revealed that among all the treatment Spinosad (13.93%) was found to be superior but it was lower than Lamda Cyhalothrin (14.63%) which was the check treatment followed Acephate (15.06%), Fipronil (15.29%),and *Beauveria bassiana*(15.67%).However, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (15.94%) and *Metarhiziumanisopilae* (16.19%)was found to be least effective in managing yellow stem borer.

The mean data of all observation regarding the efficacy of different treatments against yellow stem borer revealed the Spinosad (13.45%) was superior followed by Lamda Cyhalothrin (13.95%),Acephate (14.32%), Fipronil (14.69%),*Beauveria bassiana*(15.02%) *Bacillus thuringiensis* (15.29%) and*Metarhiziumanisopilae*(15.58%) as compared to control (17.15%). In the result DH of yellow stem borer per hill were obtained in plot treated with Spinosad(13.45%), similar results were reported by **Chatterjee and Mondal(2014)**whorecorded 13.54 % dead heart.The result of Lamda Cyhalothrin 13.95% dead heart per hill are similar to the finding of**Katelet al., (2023)**whoreported 8.46% DH/hill from the treatment Lamda Cyhalothrin.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

## References

1. **Chatterjee, S. and Mondal, P. 2014.** Management of rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagaincertulas* Walker using some biorational insecticides. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 7:143.
2. **Chormule, A.J., Kharbade, S.B., Patil, S.C. and Tamboli, N.D., 2014.** Bioefficacy of new insecticide molecules against rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagaincertulas*(Walker). *The Ecoscan*, 6:63-67.
3. **Katel, S., Timsina, S., and Adhikari, N. (2023).** Efficacy of commercially available insecticides against yellow stem borer (*Scirpophagaincertulas* Walker.). *Journal of Agriculture and Applied Biology*, 4(1): 39-47.
4. **Muralidharan, K. and Pasalu, I. C. (2021).** Assessments of crop losses in rice ecosystems due to stem borer damage (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Crop protection*, 25(5):409-417.
5. **Nile, B. M. and Kumar, A. (2023)<sup>[5]</sup>.** Efficacy of different chemicals and biopesticides against yellow stem borer [*Scirpophagaincertulas*(Walker)] on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).*ThePharama innovation international journal*,12(6):395-398.
6. **Omprakash, S., Venkataiah, M. and Laxman, S. (2017).** Comparative efficacy of some new insecticides against rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagaincertulas* Walker under field conditions. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 5(5):1126-1129.
7. **Reddy, L. P. V., Rao, S. R. K., Krishna, T. M., Rao, C. S., Padmodaya, B., and Naidu, G. M. (2019).**Bioefficacy of certain insecticides against rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagaincertulas* (Walker). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(4):2625-2628.
8. **Sah, S., and Sharma, R. (2023).** Efficacy of eco-friendly insecticides against yellow stem borer under spring rice crop ecosystem of Saptari district, Nepal. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 8(2): 112-115.
9. **Sasmal, A., Patro, B., and Sarangi, P. K. (2018).** Bio-efficacy evaluation of some insecticides (solo and pre mixed) against major insect pests of rice. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 14(1): 238-244.

10. **Shyamrao, I. D. and Raghuraman, M. (2019).** Bio-efficacy of insecticides against yellow stem borer (*Scirpophagaincertulas*Walker) in rice (*O.sativa* L.) ecosystem of Varanasi region.*Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(2): 301-304.
11. **Singh, B. and Chatterjee, S. (2021).** Relative efficacy of some biorational and microbial insecticides against yellow stem borer and whorl maggot of boro paddy. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 14(2):90-96.
12. **Singh, P. Singh, R. Dhaka, S. S. Kumar, D. Kumar, H. and Kumari, N. (2015).** Bioefficacy of insecticides and bio-pesticides against yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagaincertulus*(walk.) and their effect on spiders in rice crop. *South Asian Journal. Food Technology. Environment*, 1: 179-183.
13. **Suresh M, Tayde AR, Chandar AS. Comparative Efficacy of Selected Chemicals with Biopesticides against Yellow Stem Borer, ScirpophagaIncertulas (Walker) on Paddy (Oryza sativa L.). Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change. [Internet]. 2023 Jul. 7 [cited 2024 May 22];13(9):590-4. Available from: <https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/article/view/2274>**
14. **Lal B, Dhurve V. Bio-efficacy of Different Biopesticides against Jassid, (Amrascabiguttulabiguttula Ishida) Infesting Okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench]. J. Exp. Agric. Int. [Internet]. 2024 Jan. 16 [cited 2024 May 22];46(1):37-4. Available from: <https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/article/view/2289>**
15. **Kardinan A, Maris P. Effect of biopesticides against stem borer (Lophobarispiperis) and Thrips sp. on pepper (Piper nigrum). InE3S Web of Conferences 2021 (Vol. 306, p. 01022). EDP Sciences.**