

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_IJPSS_117977
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of chemicals and biopesticides against yellow stem borer [<i>Scirpophaga incertulas</i> (Walker)] at Prayagraj
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes.</p> <p>2. Need to correct.</p> <p>3. Abstract is not comprehensive. Need to add the background and objective of research.</p> <p>4. The subsections are correct but need to be improved.</p> <p>5. Need to improve.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Not bad.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, the major sections of this manuscript are unfortunately poorly written (There are many grammatical and stylistic errors) and not well structured. In fact, the whole manuscript needs to be deeply improved (abstract, introduction, methods, present of results and discussion). The results are not well discussed (the discussion is very poor). The most important point is to indicate the importance of these studies (why it's very important to evaluate). Also the authors should indicate what has been reported on these aspects and what is the new on the present study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeedeh Javar
Department, University & Country	Golestan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, AREEO, Iran