

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_118291
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating the Challenge of Tuberculosis Drug Resistance: Unveiling Intrinsic and Acquired Pathways to Overcome the Threat
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>TB drug resistance has become a public health problem not just to developing climes but world wide. In many cases the resultant effect is mortality. Even when death doesn't occur, treatment cost is usually unbearable. Developing new measures to curbing TB drug resistance is very crucial. This can be achieved by understanding the mechanism of resistance to the current remedies. This makes this manuscript important to the scientific community</p> <p>Title is suitable</p> <p>Abstract not comprehensive enough. It should state the method of information gathering, the setting, a concussion stating summary of outcome at a glance.</p> <p>Structure appropriate but authors may wish to add a discussion or result or summary section highlighting at least key findings.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct While references are sufficient, there are quite a number of very old references. Usually, references not later than 10yrs old are preferred.</p> <p>Reference number 117 does not capture year of publication. Authors may wish to look up and make necessary correction.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abatur Suleiyol Charity Uadoo
Department, University & Country	Federal Medical Center, Nigeria