

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_IJTDH_118108</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Spillage of Akosombo and Kpong dams in Ghana: perspectives on socio-economic and public health impacts on affected populations and proposed mitigation strategies</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Not really</b> The abstract could be more concise and focused on the main findings of the study. The introduction could benefit from a clearer statement of the research question and objectives</p> <p>The study does not include a detailed analysis of the economic impacts of the flood, which could be an important aspect to consider.</p> <p><b>Somehow yes</b></p> <p>The manuscript could benefit from a more comprehensive literature review to provide a stronger foundation for the study.</p> <p>The methodology section could be more detailed, particularly regarding data analysis procedures.</p> <p>The results section could include more in-depth analysis and interpretation of the findings.</p> <p>The conclusion could be more concise and focused on the main findings and implications of the study.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>The study does not include a detailed comparison with other similar studies, which could provide valuable insights and context.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samuel Yaw Opoku</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Catholic University of Ghana, Ghana</b>