

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_118365
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Quality Analysis of Pineapple (Ananas comosus L.) Sweetmeat blend with Bottle gourd (Lagenaria siceraria)
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, important for scientific community</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract does not clearly state the research objectives</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. Yes, the reference sufficient and recent</p> <p>7. The title and purpose must be considered so that suitability is achieved. so that the conclusion will answer the objectives, but the research objectives are not written explicitly in the manuscript. specific research objectives need to be added.</p> <p>8. Conclusions are obtained from the results of comparing research results with the standards of the products studied. In the text there is no product standard as a reference. Product standards usually contain limitations on the physical chemical properties of the product. product standards need to be added, whether local or international standards as a reference.</p> <p>9. Conclusions will be more meaningful when the research results obtained are a comparison of standard products and research products</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language quality of the article suitable fir scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	-	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hisworo Ramdani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>IPB University, Trilogi University, Indonesia</b>