

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JABB_117880
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Growth Regulators and Growing Media on Seed Germination of Ber (Ziziphus mauritiana)
Type of the Article	research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is a regular category in the scientific community.</p> <p>Less precise. Can be revised: Effect of Combination of Growth Regulators and Growing Media on Seed Germination of Ber (Ziziphus mauritiana). Because this research seems to be a one-factor treatment.</p> <p>Abstract: needs to fully describe the research. Please refer to the comments.</p> <p>: some observational variables are not shown. Discussion needs to be included. The manuscript still needs to be corrected. However, it needs to be greatly improved to be worthy of publication.</p> <p>References do not qualify as a quality article. Up-to-date and supportive references are needed.</p> <p>This manuscript still needs a lot of improvement to be suitable for publication. Please follow the comments.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English is sufficient</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is of ordinary or moderate scientific value. It is far from perfect. However, it could be worthy of publication if it were improved greatly.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Edi Susilo
Department, University & Country	University of Ratu Samban, Indonesia