

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JABB_117878</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Challenges confronted by the mugarearers while adopting the scientific muga rearing practices in Sonitpur district of Assam</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5.</b></p> <p><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, being an original article, the title suits the content of the article.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and it can be published as it is.</b></p> <p><b>The content of the manuscript suffices the type of article and methodology, tables are also explanatory of the results.</b> <b>The methodology used to reach conclusions is scientific as proposed here and renders scientific knowledge.</b></p> <p><b>Being an original article in the matter of territorial interest, the references suffices the status of scientific communication, that is, the references is congruent with the matter of scrutiny variable for the country India.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b>REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English of the article is suitable for publication as a scholar communication regarding an original article.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b>comments</p>	<p>Regarding the status of being this manuscript an original article which carries out information to stablish national and regional policies regarding the paramount categories as for what reason people is not making a profit with exports even the references first and second which "Anonymous" is debatable as for the content, and could be misleading towards information which could be dangerous for the civilian population, that is reader and other fellow scientists could not use it as a new reference and number of reader might decrease for the journal. Editorial consideration on the matter is suggested.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Edwin Fernando Vasquez Vasquez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Peru</b>