

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_118469
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of city waste manure with different levels of inorganic fertilizers on soil health parameter, growth and yield attributes of black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> L.) in inceptisols
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. The use of manure from urban areas is topical and of great interest because on the one hand it helps to remove these residues from the environment and on the other hand it can be used as a source of nutrients in the agricultural cultivation of various plant species of nutritional and economic interest. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. The abstract of the article well done. Yes, the structure and subsection of the manuscript are appropriate for a research article. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. The references limited in number (11) but they are enough for an original article, and relatively recently (10 of them is after 2010) two references are entered twice! Meena et al., 2014 appears in text and Meena et al., 2006 at the bibliography. What is the correct year of this publication? 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language quality are suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In addition to those mentioned in point 6, I have made many corrections in the full article with the red color. The review article can be published only after a major revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihai- Iacob Bența
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine from Cluj-Napoca, Romania