

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_IJPSS_117607
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Efficacy and Economics of Selected Insecticides against Pod Borer [<i>Helicoverpaarmigera</i> (Hubner)] on Cowpea [<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp]
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript holds significance for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the efficacy of various insecticides against pod borers on cowpea. The study's findings on the effectiveness of different treatments and their impact on yield offer practical implications for pest management strategies in agriculture The title of the article, "Assessing the Efficacy and Economics of Selected Insecticides against Pod Borer on Cowpea," effectively conveys the study's focus and key elements. It accurately reflects the content and purpose of the research. The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it succinctly summarizes the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications. It provides a clear overview of the research conducted, making it informative and useful for readers. The manuscript's subsections and structure are well-organized, following a logical flow from introduction to results and discussion. The clear delineation of sections enhances readability and understanding of the study's progression and outcomes. The manuscript appears scientifically sound, with a detailed methodology, clear presentation of results, and a discussion that aligns with the study's objectives. The data analysis and interpretation seem robust, contributing to the credibility of the research findings. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript provides a detailed and structured account of the research conducted, including the methodology, results, and discussion. The use of technical terms related to agriculture, entomology, and pest management is appropriate, enhancing the article's scientific rigor and relevance. The clarity of expression and organization of information suggest that the article is well-suited for scholarly dissemination and academic discourse. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandeep K
Department, University & Country	Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, India