

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_118168
Title of the Manuscript:	CHEMICAL COMPOSITION, FUNCTIONAL, MICROBIOLOGICAL AND SENSORY QUALITIES OF LOCALLY PRODUCED COMPLEMENTARY FOOD
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. A local complementary food was developed and assessed for its chemical composition, functional properties, microbiological safety, and sensory qualities. This research is significant because it explores the use of locally available ingredients, which can help increase the nutritional quality of food. It demonstrates how underutilized local ingredients can be transformed into nutritious food options.</p> <p>2. While the current title is acceptable, it is recommended to specify the main ingredient as a classification of the complementary food. This will give readers a clearer idea of the type of complementary food developed in the study when they read the title.</p> <p>3. The manuscript is comprehensive. However, it is suggested that the author ensures the correct capitalization of scientific names. Additionally, units for microbial counts should be included.</p> <p>4. Yes it is appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes it is</p> <p>6. Yes , non so far</p> <p>Others:</p> <p>In the Introduction, it would be beneficial to provide more detailed background information on the complementary food developed, including how it is intended to be cooked and consumed.</p> <p>Regarding allergen restrictions, since this product is developed for infants and children, please explain the measures taken to control potential allergens.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I appreciate the extent of work done by the authors on the food analysis part.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anne Gellie Pablo
Department, University & Country	Western Philippines University, Philippines