

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_118468
Title of the Manuscript:	Petrography and Mineralogy of the Sediments of Ox-Bow Lake from the Ganga Alluvial Plain, India: Implications for Provenance and Tectonic Setting
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><i>Yes, It may benefits the scientific community in sense as a case study subject to India.</i></p> <p><i>Title need modification like case study of Ganga Alluvial Plain; As this work is not novel and lacks novelty rather it is a good case study.</i></p> <p><i>Abstract need to be rewrite as it has no detail of significance of the current study and even purpose of study.</i> <i>Introduction portion is lacking relevant studies of other Ox bow lakes information relevant to subject of the manuscript more it is describing the minerology which is objective. Need to add other relevant studies in world in same context should be added. Write Proper lat long data from GPS mentioning NSEW directions as well. Study area and geology of area should be merged as a single subsection and shorten it. Draw proper map in GIS with high resolution as sampling points are not so cleared and legend is missing in map.(Fig 1). Scale should be present on fig 3. Without scale field picture has no value. Petrographic figures needs proper labelling of mentioned minerals in it. Fig 2.</i></p> <p><i>References are too much outdated use google scholar and put recent references by 2015 and so on. All the references should be checked carefully as some are missing in text or in reference list. Update all the references by 2015 till 2024.</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p><i>The English need to proof read by native speaker for the easy understanding of readers. English is weak</i></p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p><i>I recommend major revision. Upon addressing all the mentioned comments in above tables manuscript may be reconsidered.</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ihtisham Islam
Department, University & Country	Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University, Pakistan