

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_118267
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Bangabandhu in the Development of Post-Independence International Relations: A Study on Selected Dhaka Dailies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Role of Bangabandhu in the development of international relations after independence is not fully disclosed. And the main focus of the authors concentrated on the review of references in newspapers, which significantly narrows and limits the research work. NOT disclosed is what the first or second page mentions are related to, 2. No answer as to why the authors chose to analyze the content of newspapers for the period 1972-1975, Why they limited themselves to newspapers only, although they also mention magazines, books, news, etc. Among the 188 newspapers, their focus and main content of content, government or commercial, names of newspapers, their circulation is important in reporting the information is not presented. None of the pictures of newspapers are readable, accordingly, we need to redo these pictures 3. The abstract gives the basic description of the paper but the essential role of Bangabandhu in establishing international relations is not revealed. 4. Was very desirable that the research mindset of the authors could manifest itself in an interesting and informative research paper on the outstanding role of Bangabandhu in the development of international relations: personality, politician, organizer, father of the nation, sage and educated man. On the one hand, the authors made an attempt to analyze the news content of newspapers for 4 years, on the other hand, it is not quite clear - for what purpose? The analysis does not reveal the power and uniqueness of the father of the nation. The whole study is reduced to counting the number of references on different pages of newspapers. There is no the explanation how the authors used the content-analyze. 5. The list of literature is neatly arranged, cited in the article. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The English is quite appropriate for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Svetlana Gurieva
Department, University & Country	Saint Petersburg State University, Russia