

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AIR_118019
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Tea Initiatives with the Adoption of Inhana Rational Farming Technology to Address the Principles of Regenerative Farming for Crop Sustainability and Reduction of Pesticide Usage
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Needs improvement.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p> <p>Needs improvement.</p> <p>Needs improvement.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Needs improvement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Article Summary: The article on International Tea Day presents relevant information about the tea sector and highlights the importance of women in this context. However, I have identified some points that could be improved to increase the quality and impact of the work.</p> <p>1. Clarity in the Introduction: The introduction is not clear about the objective, the hypothesis, the contribution of the article and its structure. I recommend that the author: Specify the main objective of the study. Outline the central hypothesis being investigated. Highlight the article's original contribution to existing literature. Present a brief structure of the article to guide the reader through the content that will be covered.</p> <p>2. Inclusion of Quantitative Data: The article lacks specific quantitative data on tea production, such as harvest volumes, exports or revenues. The inclusion of this data would strengthen the factual basis of the text. I suggest presenting: Recent statistics on tea production and exports. Economic data highlighting the sector's importance.</p> <p>3. Adding Reliable References: Although the article mentions challenges faced by the sector, there are no specific references to studies, reports or reliable sources that support these claims. Adding academic references or reports from relevant organizations, such as the FAO, would increase the credibility of the content. I recommend: Cite recent academic studies. Include reports from international organizations on the tea sector.</p> <p>4. Exploring the Challenges of Women Entrepreneurs: The text mentions the special tribute to women in the sector, but does not explore in depth the specific challenges faced by women entrepreneurs in tea cultivation and marketing. Detailing these challenges and proposing specific solutions would enrich the content. I suggest:</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Include testimonials or case studies about women in the tea sector. Discuss policies and support programs aimed at women entrepreneurs. 5. Impact of Climate Change: The article mentions the need for innovation to adapt to climate change, but does not explore how these changes directly affect tea production. Including information on how climate variations impact the quality, productivity and sustainability of the sector would be relevant. I recommend: Present data on the impact of climate change on tea production. Discuss adaptation and mitigation measures being implemented in the sector. 6. Holistic View of Sustainability: Although the text addresses sustainability, it could delve deeper into the analysis of economic, social and environmental aspects. Exploring sustainable practices, such as the Inhana Rational Farming Technology (IRF), which aims to improve plant health and reduce the use of pesticides, could enrich the content. I suggest: Discuss sustainable agricultural practices and their implications. Analyze the economic and social benefits of sustainability in the tea sector. 7. Statistical Rigor: There is a lack of statistical rigor in comparing the results presented. I recommend: Use appropriate statistical methods to analyze the data. Present comparisons based on robust statistical analysis. Conclusion: In summary, the article could be more robust with concrete data, reliable references and a more comprehensive approach to the challenges and opportunities in the tea sector. Including these elements would enhance the publication and make the content more informative and convincing.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wellington Ribeiro Justo
Department, University & Country	Regional University of Cariri, Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil