

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_118315
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Associated with The Incident of Hypertension in Outpatient Patients at The Kramat Jati District Health Center, East Jakarta, 2024
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community, particularly in the fields of public health and epidemiology. Hypertension is a major risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, and understanding the factors associated with its incidence is crucial for developing effective prevention and management strategies.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable and provides a clear indication of the study focus. However, it could be made more concise and impactful.</p> <p>"Determinants of Hypertension in Outpatients: A Cross-Sectional Study at Kramat Jati Health Center, East Jakarta"</p> <p>3. The abstract could be made more comprehensive by adding sampling size, statistical methods used and adding p value or confidence intervals to the results.</p> <p>4. Overall, the structure seems appropriate, but attention to detail in each section is necessary to ensure clarity, comprehensiveness, and adherence to academic standards. Reviewing specific journal guidelines can also help tailor the manuscript's structure appropriately.</p> <p>5. Based on the provided sections, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct in its design, methodology, and initial data analysis. However, it has some limitations, primarily related to sampling and potential biases. By addressing these limitations and providing detailed statistical analysis and comparison with existing literature, the scientific validity of the study can be strengthened.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and mostly recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>While the manuscript generally maintains an appropriate academic tone, it requires grammatical corrections, clearer phrasing, and improved transitions to be fully suitable for scholarly communications. Proofreading and editing will enhance its readability and ensure it meets the standards of academic writing.</p> <p>The text contains some grammatical errors and awkward phrasing that can impede understanding. For example: "The higher the degree of education, the lower the risk of hypertension." This can be revised for clarity and precision. Some sentences are verbose or redundant and could be made more concise. For example: "Hypertension, which is one of the most common diseases in the world, is a condition in which the blood pressure in the arteries is persistently elevated." This could be simplified to: "Hypertension is a common condition characterized by persistently elevated blood pressure." Ensure smooth transitions between sections and paragraphs to enhance the overall readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghulam Mustafa
Department, University & Country	Gomal University Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan