

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JEAI_117914
Title of the Manuscript:	Mutation Breeding and its Importance in modern Plant Breeding.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. 	<p>This manuscript referring to mutagenesis ant its importance in plant breeding can be important, but the information presented are mostly known.</p> <p>The title is in accordance with the manuscript information.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive enough.</p> <p>The article is well structured and presented in a scientific way.</p> <p>In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically correct, but although the authors note that mutagenesis has made great progress in the last 10 years, the references are much older.</p> <p>If this manuscript wants to be a review, the references must be improved.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I am not in a position to make such judgments.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I would have liked to know what the purpose of this article was and what led the authors to write it.</p> <p>This is generally a well research article, but I recommend to revise the references.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iancu Paula
Department, University & Country	University of Craiova, Romania