

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JEAI_117843
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of nano mixed micronutrients on growth, quality and economics of french bean
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript important for scientific community</p> <p>2. The title of the article is not suitable. Should be "Effect of nano mixed micronutrients on growth, grain yield, quality and economic efficiency of french bean in ???"</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive, because not yet having grain yield, and only seen data for one season.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. Should have subsection for 3.1: Effect of nano mixed micronutrients on growth parameters of French bean in 2021, at???; 3.2: Effect of nano mixed micronutrients on grain yield of French bean In 2021, at ???; 3.3: Effect of nano mixed micronutrients on quality of French bean in 2021, at?????. Missing conclusion section.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct but not enough confident.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient and not recent. Should review more update papers during 2020-2024</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Missing sample size to for data collection. Meaning that readers cannot infer from the Table 1 and 2 from statistical analysis. - Missing Table 3 and 4, readers cannot see the return and economic efficiency of using nano mixed micronutrients; - Missing conclusion section. - The experiment should be repeated one or two more seasons/sites for enough statistical significance. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Le Quy Kha
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agricultural Sciences for Southern Vietnam, Vietnam