

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JEAI_117843</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of nano mixed micronutrients on growth, quality and economics of french bean</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript's strengths—such as its organized presentation, scientific rigor, and applicability to agricultural practices—are highlighted in this review. It draws attention to how important the results are to the scientific community and how they might affect the sustainability of agriculture.</li> <li>The title of the article, "Effect of Nano Mixed Micronutrients on Growth, Quality and Economics of French Bean," effectively captures the essence of the study. However, if you're looking for an alternative title that might offer a slightly different perspective or focus, you could consider:  "Enhancing Growth, Quality, and Economic Yield of French Bean with Nano Mixed Micronutrients"  This alternative title maintains the core elements of the original while emphasizing the enhancement aspect, which may attract readers interested in innovative agricultural practices and sustainable crop management.</li> <li>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a clear overview of the study's purpose, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. The abstract effectively summarizes the main aspects of the research, including the investigation into the impact of nano mixed micronutrients on the growth, quality, and economics of French beans. It concisely communicates the significance of the study and its implications for agricultural practices. Overall, the abstract serves its purpose well in informing readers about the content and findings of the article.</li> <li><b>Overall Evaluation:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript follows a logical flow from introduction to conclusion.</li> <li>Subsections are appropriately structured to cover all essential aspects of the study.</li> <li>The structure ensures that readers can easily navigate through the manuscript and understand the research process, results, and implications.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> The subsections and structure of the manuscript are suitable and effectively support the research findings. They provide a clear and organized presentation of the study, making it suitable for publication in a scientific journal.</p> </li> <li>Based on the information provided, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. However, to confirm its scientific validity, it would be necessary to review the complete manuscript, including all data tables and figures, and ensure that the methods used are well-documented and appropriate for the research objectives.</li> <li>The references provided in the manuscript excerpt appear to be relevant and cover a range of aspects related to the study. However, adding a few additional references could strengthen the manuscript by providing further context and supporting evidence. The suggestions above aim to enhance the comprehensiveness and relevance of the references to the study of nanomixed micronutrients in French bean cultivation.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Overall, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. With some minor improvements in sentence structure, clarity of expression, and proofreading, the manuscript will be well-positioned for publication in a scientific journal.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Haftom Teshale Gebre</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Adigrat University, Ethiopia</b>