

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JEAI_117673
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of packaging material and moisture contents on pulse beetle infestation under different storage conditions in chickpea
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, suitable</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, comprehensive</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes, sufficient and recent</b></p> <p><b>Additional suggestions/comment</b></p> <p>1. <b>It would be better if the beans to be studied were standardized, not only in terms of moisture but water content and uniformity as well as sufficient harvest age. Humidity will be interconnected with water content.</b></p> <p>2. <b>The chickpeas to be studied should be tested with several physical and chemical parameters at each sampling and written in the manuscript to see the uniformity of the chickpeas being studied.</b></p> <p>3. <b>In the conclusion, it is best to write the quantity figures for the best research results as written in the abstract</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	-	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hisworo Ramdani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>IPB University, Trilogi University, Indonesia</b>