

Minireview Article

Insights on the Importance and Use of Adjuvants in Vaccine Production Technology: A Mini-Review

ABSTRACT

Aims: To expand knowledge about vaccines and their components, and contribute to the end of misinformation on the topic, this literature review aims to provide a general overview, with insights into the importance and use of adjuvants in vaccine production technology. In addition, this mini-review hopes to contribute as a tool to combat misinformation regarding vaccines, so that these important immunobiologicals can continue to advance further in development and use, preventing diseases with efficiency, on a global scale.

Methodology: An exploratory-descriptive bibliographical review was carried out, with a qualitative approach, based on searches guided by keywords (vaccine adjuvant, vaccine excipient, chemical composition of vaccine, immunological adjuvants, immunomodulation, vaccine adjuvants, aluminum salts more vaccine, among others), in scientific articles published in Portuguese, English or Spanish, in the period between 2000 and 2024. The main databases used were Scientific Electronic Library Online (SciELO), PUBMED, Scopus, and the Coordination Periodicals Portal of Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES).

Results: In addition to antigens, which are responsible for inducing the immune response, vaccines can also be made up of other elements, such as adjuvants, stabilizers, and preservatives. These substances play important roles in the success of the vaccine technology used. With the advancement of knowledge about adjuvants, they have gone from substances used to increase the immunogenicity of vaccines to highly purified antigen substances that induce a response, acting as molecular patterns associated with pathogens. According to their chemical nature and/or origin, vaccine adjuvants can be classified into different groups, including polysaccharides, mineral salts, and natural products derived from microorganisms.

Conclusion: Adjuvants play an essential role in vaccination, increasing the effectiveness of vaccines and promoting a more robust immune response. They are an integral part of the ongoing development of more effective vaccines, ensuring public health protection against a wide range of infectious diseases.

Keywords: immunization; chitosan; mineral salts; immune system; vaccinology

1. INTRODUCTION

The vaccination technique can be seen as an ancient practice, present since the 900s A.D. Known as variolation, the method developed in Chinese culture involved the application of dried pus to healthy patients. However, although effective in inducing immunity, the practice often leads to the development of the disease in a severe form, resulting in a high percentage of mortality [1]. It was Edward Jenner who in 1776 demonstrated a safe method for immunization through cowpox, thus creating the first vaccine in 1778 [2].

Vaccination is one of the most successful examples of public health at a global level and works to prevent diseases through the induction of immunization by pathogen antigens [3-5]. Vaccines can be classified according to their development technology, for example, inactivated or attenuated whole organism vaccines, multivalent subunit vaccines, DNA vaccines, recombinant vector vaccines, or mRNA vaccines [6-8].

In addition to this classification by development technology, vaccines can also be classified into generations. For example, vaccines developed with live-attenuated or inactivated pathogens, the first vaccine technology developed, are classified as first-generation. On the other hand, multivalent subunit vaccines and recombinant vaccines are considered second-generation and DNA vaccines are considered third-generation [9]. Although it is a technology that has been studied for a long time [10], mRNA vaccines have only been used on a large scale since the end of 2020, in the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic [7], also being considered third-generation.

It is a fact that the antigens present in vaccines are responsible for inducing the immune response. However, vaccines can also be made up of other elements, such as adjuvants, stabilizers, and preservatives [11]. Furthermore, they may also contain traces of particles used in their production such as antibiotics, egg protein, or formaldehyde [12]. These substances play an important role in vaccine technology to enable adequate immunization.

Initially, the class of adjuvants was used to increase the immunogenicity of highly purified antigen vaccines. Several of them used in industry were discovered empirically. As knowledge about vaccine technology advances, many adjuvants are developed to induce a response by acting as pathogen-associated molecular patterns - PAMPs [1].

Stabilizers provide properties to increase the thermostable characteristics of vaccines and are made up of buffers, proteins, antioxidants, amino acids, sugars, and surfactants [13]. The choice of stabilizers is related to the vaccine technology and the active pharmaceutical ingredient. The class of preservatives is associated with the production and storage process and aims to reduce or avoid contamination by microorganisms. One of the main preservative agents is 2-phenoxyethanol [11]. These, together with stabilizers, form the excipient of vaccine formulations.

In light of all this, to expand knowledge about vaccines and their components, and contribute to the end of misinformation on the topic, this literature review aims to provide an overview, with insights into the importance and use of adjuvants in vaccine production technology.

2. METHODOLOGY

An exploratory-descriptive bibliographical review was carried out, with a qualitative approach, based on searches guided by keywords (vaccine adjuvant, vaccine excipient, chemical composition of vaccine, immunological adjuvants, immunomodulation, vaccine adjuvants, aluminum salts more vaccine, among others), in scientific articles published in Portuguese, English or Spanish, in the period between 2000 and 2024. The main databases used were Scientific Electronic Library Online (SciELO), PUBMED, Scopus, and the Coordination Periodicals Portal of Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES). In addition to the year of publication and language, having a direct relationship with the topic and being fully available for download were inclusion criteria adopted for references to be used in this review. The exclusion criteria include duplicates, incomplete works, and lack of relationship with the theme. Afterward, a meticulous reading and analysis of the content was carried out to, only then, define the productions that would compose the present review.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Vaccinology: historical aspects

The first vaccines were developed by Edward Jenner in 1796, after 20 years of studies and experiments with cowpox [14]. Jenner discovered that protection against smallpox could be achieved by inoculating material extracted from cowpox pustular lesions into humans. This material became known as "vaccine", derived from the Latin term "Vacca", which means cow, and the process was called "vaccination" [15].

Over the years, scientific advances have contributed to understanding the effects of vaccine adjuvants, substances added to vaccines to reinforce the immune response. French veterinarian Gaston Ramon proved, in his studies with equine anti-tetanus and diphtheria antisera, that substances capable of inducing inflammation at the injection site could also increase the effectiveness of antisera [16].

During this same period, Alexander Glennie discovered the immune-boosting effects of aluminum salts. In 1932, aluminum was used for the first time as an adjuvant in human vaccines and became the first licensed adjuvant in use [17]. Aluminum adjuvants increase antibody production and are ideal for pathogens killed by antibodies.

Adjuvants have been used for more than 90 years and are present in more than 30 licensed vaccines from different manufacturers. However, some attenuated vaccines are capable of inducing mild infections in recipients, activating an immune response similar to innate immunity. This eliminates the need for adjuvants in these vaccines. The safety of adjuvants is assessed according to the vaccine in which they are used [1].

Currently, the development of vaccines follows more stringent requirements, which require high effectiveness, long duration of protection, and absence of adverse effects [18]. Scientific and technological advances have contributed to the continuous improvement of vaccines, which seek to meet public health demands efficiently and safely [19].

3.2 Classification of adjuvants

3.2.1 Adjuvants derived from microorganisms

Adjuvants play a crucial role in vaccine development. Its ability to stimulate specific subsets of T cells, such as CD4+ TH1 and TH2 cells, as well as CD8+ cells involved in cytotoxic responses, is closely linked to adjuvanticity [20,21].

Natural products, from plants, bacteria, or fungi, are potential sources of new vaccine adjuvants due to their powerful immunostimulatory capacity [22,23]. Although not highly immunogenic, peptidoglycan or LPS (lipopolysaccharide) from bacterial cell membranes enhance the immune response. This adjuvant activity occurs by activating toll-like receptors (TLRs), which signal danger and activate the host's immune system [24].

Bacterial species used as sources of adjuvants include *Mycobacterium* spp., *Corynebacterium parvum*, *C. granulosum*, *Bordetella pertussis*, and *Neisseria meningitidis*.

However, these complete microorganisms are too toxic to be used as adjuvants in humans [24].

The lipophilic chain at the C-terminal end of the peptide increases the effectiveness of MDP, resulting in stronger specific immune responses. Furthermore, this lipophilic chain also increases nonspecific resistance against bacterial or parasitic infections under certain conditions [25]. LPS is a compound derived from the wall of Gram-negative bacteria, and its main adjuvant effect is attributed to lipid A. Under low acidity conditions, LPS can be hydrolyzed to obtain MPLA, a compound that retains the adjuvant activity of lipid A, reducing its toxicity [24]. TDM is an immunostimulant found on the surface of bacteria that promotes cell-mediated and humoral responses.

3.2.2 Water-in-oil emulsions

Small oil droplets stabilized by surfactants in a continuous aqueous phase characterize oil-in-water emulsions. Through the slow release of antigens, adjuvants in this category stimulate the immune system in a lasting way [26].

The first documented use of oil emulsions occurred in 1916, when Le Moignic and Pinoy vaccinated mice with *Salmonella typhimurium* inactivated and emulsified with mineral oil [27]. However, the frequent use of emulsions as adjuvants began in 1956, with the introduction of complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA), widely used in animal formulations but considered unacceptable for use in humans.

The first approved adjuvant emulsion was MF59, a squalene oil-based adjuvant that received initial authorization for use in the Fludax[®] vaccine in 1997. In one study, MF59 stimulated cellular recruitment in the muscles where it was injected, resulting in large quantities of neutrophils, granulocytes, monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells [28]. MF59 is licensed as an adjuvant in seasonal influenza vaccines for the elderly and pandemic influenza vaccines for H1N1 and H5N1. Furthermore, this adjuvant is being evaluated for use in vaccines against HIV, cytomegalovirus, and hepatitis C.

AS03 is another squalene-based oil-in-water emulsion adjuvant, similar to MF59, and is approved and licensed for global use. To date, the primary use of the AS03 adjuvant is in Pandemrix[®] pandemic influenza vaccines. Vaccines with the AS03 adjuvant have been demonstrated to be immunogenic, effective, and well-tolerated, with acceptable safety profiles [29].

Water and oil emulsions are considered safe and have low production costs, making them excellent adjuvants in vaccine formulation. Its safety is attributed to the low proportion of oil used, generally in the range of 15% to 25%. However, these adjuvants can be unstable if the oil used is not completely purified and does not have the ability to alter the action of cytokines, resulting in weak immunomodulation [30].

3.2.3 Polysaccharides

Due to the rapid advancement of materials science, biomaterials are being developed for a variety of applications. Biopolymers emerge as promising candidates to replace existing materials due to their ease of synthesis in different forms, good mechanical properties,

biocompatibility, and biodegradability. The use of biopolymers as adjuvants has demonstrated effectiveness in activating the immune response, promoting the circulation of antigens, and reducing the need for booster doses [31].

In the 1980s, it was discovered that xanthan gum has intrinsic activity as an adjuvant, acting as a lymphocyte activator. Currently, xanthan gum is used in the formulation of nasal vaccines against influenza, achieving success as an adjuvant [32]. Studies have also shown the potential of xanthan gum as an adjuvant in vaccines against Leptospirosis.

Recent studies have explored the use of inulin, a polysaccharide found in the roots of plants in the Compositae family, which belongs to one of the largest families of dicotyledonous angiosperms. Inulin can be found in four forms, distinguished by solubility: alpha, gamma, beta, and delta. The gamma and delta forms have stood out for their adjuvant activity, stimulating a robust cellular and humoral immune response, without presenting toxicity [33].

Researchers at Petrovsky (Flinders) conducted studies to investigate the adjuvant activities of delta inulin against several infectious pathogens. The delta form of inulin was found to have a stronger immune-boosting effect than the gamma form in a study with hepatitis B antigens. Based on this finding and other studies with the delta form of inulin, the adjuvant was developed Advax™, formulated from this specific form of inulin. Advax™ adjuvants have demonstrated efficacy in several vaccines, proven through studies in animal models and clinical trials in humans [34].

Chitosan has also been reported as a vaccine adjuvant and is obtained through alkaline partial deacetylation of chitin [35-37]. The mucoadhesive, biocompatibility, biodegradability, and less toxic properties of chitosan compared to the other vaccine adjuvants made it a promising candidate for use as an adjuvant in vaccine technology [38].

Studies have shown that chitosan is capable of inducing immunity when used in the form of microparticles. In vitro assays indicated that encapsulated chitosan particles stimulate macrophage activation. Furthermore, in vivo assays with powdered chitosan nanospheres encapsulated with influenza virus demonstrated induction of humoral response and cellular immune responses after nasal administration in rabbits [39].

3.2.4 Mineral salts

Potassium aluminum sulfate (alum) was the first mineral adjuvant discovered in 1926 by Glenny. Since then, several derivatives of this compound have been used in several vaccines. Among the adjuvants derived from alum, aluminum phosphate, aluminum hydroxide, aluminum oxyhydroxide, and aluminum hydroxyphosphate sulfate stand out [12]. In addition to these aluminum compounds, calcium phosphate, a compound discovered by Edgar Relyvel in 1958, is also used as an adjuvant [40].

These salts are classified as vehicles or immunostimulants, depending on their mechanism of action [12]. In Table 1, some vaccines and their respective mineral salt adjuvants are listed.

Table 1. Examples of vaccines whose adjuvants are mineral salts.

Vaccine	Adjuvant
----------------	-----------------

HPV (Cervarix)	Aluminum hydroxide 1
Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (Pevnar and Synflorix)	Aluminum Phosphate 1
Hepatitis B (Engerix B)	Aluminum hydroxide 1
Hepatitis B (Recombivax HB)	Aluminum hydroxyphosphate Sulfate 1
HPV (Gardasil)	Aluminum hydroxyphosphate
Porcine deltacoronavirus	Aluminum hydroxide 2

3.2.5 Surfactant components (surfactants)

Surfactant components or surfactants are amphiphilic compounds classified as non-ionic, anionic, cationic, or amphoteric [41]. Vaccine prototypes with this type of adjuvant are mentioned in the literature, some are even used in combination with mineral salts [11,42,43]. Table 2 lists some vaccines and their respective surfactant-type adjuvants.

Table 2. Examples of vaccines whose adjuvants are surfactants.

Vaccine	Adjuvant
Influenza A	SF-10 1
Carbuncle	Span-60 + cholesterol and aluminum hydroxide 2
Influenza A	Quil-A + cGAMP 3

4. conclusion

Adjuvants play an essential role in vaccination, increasing the effectiveness of vaccines and promoting a more robust immune response. They are an integral part of the ongoing development of more effective vaccines, ensuring public health protection against a wide range of infectious diseases. In addition to highlighting the role of adjuvants in technology, this mini-review also hopes to contribute as a tool to combat misinformation regarding vaccines, so that these important immunobiologicals can continue to advance further in development and use, preventing diseases with efficiency, on a global scale.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

REFERENCES

1. Di Pasquale A, Preiss S, Tavares Da Silva F, Garçon N. Vaccine Adjuvants: from 1920 to 2015 and Beyond. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2015;3(2):320-343. doi:10.3390/vaccines3020320
2. Ponte CF. Vacinação, controle de qualidade e produção de vacinas no Brasil a partir de 1960. *Hist cienc saude-Manguinhos*. 2003;10(2 Suppl):619-653. doi:10.1590/S0104-59702003000500009
3. Plotkin SA. Vaccines: past, present and future. *Nat Med*. 2005;11(4 Suppl):S5-S11. doi:10.1038/nm1209
4. Greenwood B. The contribution of vaccination to global health: past, present and future. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2014;369(1645):20130433. doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0433
5. Ghattas M, Dwivedi G, Lavertu M, Alameh MG. Vaccine Technologies and Platforms for Infectious Diseases: Current Progress, Challenges, and Opportunities. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2021;9(12):1490. doi:10.3390/vaccines9121490
6. Francis MJ. Recent Advances in Vaccine Technologies. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2018;48(2):231-241. doi:10.1016/j.cvsm.2017.10.002
7. Pardi N, Hogan MJ, Weissman D. Recent advances in mRNA vaccine technology. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2020;65:14-20. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2020.01.008
8. Cid R, Bolívar J. Platforms for Production of Protein-Based Vaccines: From Classical to Next-Generation Strategies. *Biomolecules*. 2021;11(8):1072. doi:10.3390/biom11081072
9. Tahamtan A, Charostad J, Hoseini Shokouh S J, Barati M. An Overview of History, Evolution, and Manufacturing of Various Generations of Vaccines. *J Arch Mil Med*. 2017;5(3):e12315. doi: 10.5812/jamm.12315.
10. Schlake T, Thess A, Fotin-Mleczek M, Kallen KJ. Developing mRNA-vaccine technologies. *RNA Biol*. 2012;9(11):1319-1330. doi:10.4161/rna.22269
11. Gogoi H, Mani R, Malik A, Sehrawat P, Bhatnagar R. Co-Administration of Aluminium Hydroxide Nanoparticles and Protective Antigen Domain 4 Encapsulated Non-Ionic Surfactant Vesicles Show Enhanced Immune Response and Superior Protection against Anthrax. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2020;8(4):571. doi:10.3390/vaccines8040571
12. Garçon N, Friede MH. Evolution of Adjuvants Across the Centuries. In: Plotkin's *Vaccines*. 4th ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2018.
13. Cardoso FMC, Petrovajová D, Horňáková T. Viral vaccine stabilizers: status and trends. *Acta Virol*. 2017;61(3):231-239. doi:10.4149/av_2017_301
14. Abbas AK, Lichtman AWH, Pillai S. *Imunologia Celular e Molecular*. 7th ed. Rio de Janeiro: Elsevier. 2014.
15. Levi GC, Kallás EG. Varíola, sua prevenção vacinal e ameaça como agente de bioterrorismo. *Rev Assoc Med Bras*. 2002;48(4):357-362. doi:10.1590/S0104-42302002000400045
16. Chippaux JP. Gaston Ramon's Big Four. *Toxins (Basel)*. 2024;16(1):33. doi:10.3390/toxins16010033

17. Marrack P, McKee AS, Munks MW. Towards an understanding of the adjuvant action of aluminium. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2009;9(4):287-293. doi:10.1038/nri2510
18. Su S, Du L, Jiang S. Learning from the past: development of safe and effective COVID-19 vaccines. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2021;19(3):211-219. doi:10.1038/s41579-020-00462-y
19. McCullers JA, Dunn JD. Advances in vaccine technology and their impact on managed care. *P T.* 2008;33(1):35-41.
20. Audibert FM, Lise LD. Adjuvants: current status, clinical perspectives and future prospects [published correction appears in *Immunol Today.* 2008 Apr;29(4):149]. *Immunol Today.* 1993;14(6):281-284. doi:10.1016/0167-5699(93)90046-N
21. Schatzmayr, Hermann G. Novas perspectivas em vacinas virais. *Hist cienc saude-Manguinhos.* 2003;10(2 suppl):655-669. doi: 10.1590/S0104-59702003000500010
22. Petrovsky N, Aguilar JC. Vaccine adjuvants: current state and future trends. *Immunol Cell Biol.* 2004;82(5):488-496. doi:10.1111/j.0818-9641.2004.01272.x
23. Rey-Ladino J, Ross AG, Cripps AW, McManus DP, Quinn R. Natural products and the search for novel vaccine adjuvants. *Vaccine.* 2011;29(38):6464-6471. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.07.041
24. Aguilar JC, Rodríguez EG. Vaccine adjuvants revisited. *Vaccine.* 2007;25(19):3752-3762. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.01.111
25. Parant MA, Audibert FM, Chedid LA, et al. Immunostimulant activities of a lipophilic muramyl dipeptide derivative and of desmuramyl peptidolipid analogs. *Infect Immun.* 1980;27(3):826-831. doi:10.1128/iai.27.3.826-831.1980
26. Tizard IR. Adjuvants and adjuvanticity. *Vaccines for Veterinarians.* 2021;75-86.e1. doi:10.1016/B978-0-323-68299-2.00016-2
27. Brito LA, Malyala P, O'hagan DT. Vaccine adjuvant formulations: a pharmaceutical perspective. In: *Seminars in immunology.* Cambridge: Academic Press; 2013.
28. Mosca F, Tritto E, Muzzi A, Monaci E, Bagnoli F, Iavarone C et al. Molecular and cellular signatures of human vaccine adjuvants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2008;105(30):10501-10506. doi:10.1073/pnas.0804699105
29. O'Hagan DT, Tsai TF, Brito LA. Emulsion based vaccine adjuvants. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2013;9(8):1698-1700. doi:10.4161/hv.24829
30. Aucouturier J, Dupuis L, Ganne V. Adjuvants designed for veterinary and human vaccines. *Vaccine.* 2001;19(17-19):2666-2672. doi: 10.1016/s0264-410x(00)00498-9.
31. Shakya AK, Nandakumar KS. Applications of polymeric adjuvants in studying autoimmune responses and vaccination against infectious diseases. *J R Soc Interface.* 2013;10(79):20120536. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2012.0536.
32. Bertram U, Bernard MC, Haensler J, Maincent P, Bodmeier R. In situ gelling nasal inserts for influenza vaccine delivery. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm.* 2010;36(5):581-593. doi:10.3109/03639040903382673
33. Honda-Okubo Y, Saade F, Petrovsky N. Advax™, a polysaccharide adjuvant derived from delta inulin, provides improved influenza vaccine protection through broad-based enhancement of adaptive immune responses. *Vaccine.* 2012;30(36):5373-5381. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.06.021
34. Cooper PD, Petrovsky N. Delta inulin: a novel, immunologically active, stable packing structure comprising β -D-[2 \rightarrow 1] poly(fructo-furanosyl) α -D-glucose polymers. *Glycobiology.* 2011;21(5):595-606. doi:10.1093/glycob/cwq201
35. Ghendon Y, Markushin S, Krivtsov G, Akopova I. Chitosan as an adjuvant for parenterally administered inactivated influenza vaccines. *Arch Virol.* 2008;153(5):831-837. doi:10.1007/s00705-008-0047-4
36. Smith A, Perelman M, Hinchcliffe M. Chitosan: a promising safe and immune-enhancing adjuvant for intranasal vaccines. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2014;10(3):797-807. doi:10.4161/hv.27449

37. Xiaomin L, Rong X, Chaojie X, Song L, Yukun Q, Kecheng L. et al. Immunostimulatory effect of chitosan and quaternary chitosan: A review of potential vaccine adjuvants. *Carbohydr Polym.* 2021;264(15):e118050. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2021.118050
38. Dmour I, Islam N. Recent advances on chitosan as an adjuvant for vaccine delivery. *Int J Biol Macromol.* 2022;200:498-519. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.12.129
39. Dehghan S, Tafaghodi M, Bolourieh T, Mazaheri V, Torabi A, Abnous K et al. Rabbit nasal immunization against influenza by dry-powder form of chitosan nanospheres encapsulated with influenza whole virus and adjuvants. *Int J Pharm.* 2014;475(1-2):1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.08.032
40. Lindblad EB, Duroux L. Mineral Adjuvants. In: *Immunopotentiators in Modern Vaccines.* 2th. ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2017.
41. Yoshino N, Kawamura H, Sugiyama I, Sasaki Y, Odagiri T, Sadzuka Y et al. A systematic assessment of the relationship between synthetic surfactants and mucosal adjuvanticity. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm.* 2021;165:113-126. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpb.2021.05.010
42. Vassilieva EV, Li S, Korniyuchuk H, Taylor DM, Wang S, Prausnitz MR et al. cGAMP/Saponin Adjuvant Combination Improves Protective Response to Influenza Vaccination by Microneedle Patch in an Aged Mouse Model. *Front Immunol.* 2021;11:583251. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.583251
43. Kimoto T. Development of a safe and effective novel synthetic mucosal adjuvant SF-10 derived from physiological metabolic pathways and function of human pulmonary surfactant. *Vaccine.* 2022;40(3):544-553. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.11.030