

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JABB_117739
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nitrogen Management on Growth and Yield of Pearl Millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. Yes 3. Yes, however the abstract maybe enhanced further since the study uses and experimental design. It may contain 300-350 words to highlight the completeness of the study. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes <p>Suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the 'Materials and Methods' section, a visualization maybe provided in the form of graphical drawings or photographs of the experimental design (RDB) to present also the replications of the plots. A drawing plan maybe presented with the dimensions as stated. Labels of the components may be presented as to give more overview of the RDB and replications. Spacing should also be included in the 'diagram-drawing' to elaborate further and for the readers clearer understanding of the experimental plots and to provide clearer understanding why ANOVA was used in the analysis of data. 2. In the 'Results and Discussion' section, to appreciate more and further support the presented data, photographs of the yield, height, number of tillers, etc. may be presented as a visual comparison of the plants per plot. This will further enhance the presentation of the paper and not only numbers in tables. 3. Expand further the Conclusion so that not only it addresses the title of the study. The conclusion may present the insights and consequents of the results presented in the results and discussion. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geraldin B. Dela Cruz
Department, University & Country	Tarlac Agricultural Uiniversity, Philippines