

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_118317
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of Recycled Papers as Storage and Germination Medium of Vegetable Seeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>While its significance for the scientific community is relative, it is more practical for producers.</p> <p>The article's title is in accordance with its content.</p> <p>The article's abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>The manuscript's sections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>Although the manuscript is scientifically accurate.</p> <p>It is possible to expand the references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Improvement can be made to the English language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article has a very short length, making it more suitable to be classified as a Short Communication. The work demonstrates an alternative method for seed germination using recycled paper, which is original. However, the writing could be improved upon. It would be beneficial to expand on the research findings and provide more detailed explanations to enhance the overall clarity and depth of the content. By refining the writing style and structure, the article could effectively convey the innovative approach to seed germination using recycled materials.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arnaldo Esquivel-Fariña
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Asunción, Paraguay