

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_CA_117093</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>The Value of 2D Global Longitudinal Strain in Predicting the Severity of Coronary Artery Disease in Patients with NSTEMI and Unstable Angina and regional strain value for predicting the culprit artery.</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | Yes absolutely. Very good work on determining the severity of CAD.<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes | -   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | Yes   | -   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | -   | -   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Priyanka Mayapur</b>                                |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Agnel Institute of Technology and Design, India</b> |