Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Annual Research & Review in Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARRB_117601**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A review on the health implications of electromagnetic radiations emitting from cell phone towers.**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**The manuscript is important for the scientific community.** **A review on the health implications of electromagnetic radiations emitting from Base Stations on Mobile Towers.** **The abstract will also need a revision by the author based on suggested revisions as suggested in 1. Above** **The author may like to make some changes in line with 1 above.** **I have added many references and recent ones are also included.**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Some improvements and syntax corrections.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Rakesh Kumar Bhatnagar**
Department, University & Country	**Delhi University, India**