

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJMAH_117947
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AND PRACTICE OF BREAST SELF EXAMINATION A CASE STUDY OF WOMEN OF OKRIKA KALIO-AMA COMMUNITY OKRIKA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. The references given were between 2008 and 2022. More relevant references are given. Please check it is as per the journal order. Sufficient references were given</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Available in the manuscript</p> <p>low and middle income</p> <p>breast self examination self efficacy</p> <p>self exam</p> <p>Thestudy</p> <p>This findings is</p> <p>Some common error is available. Please check it and correct it. It is highlighted in yellow.</p> <p>Accept for publication after the corrections are over.</p>	<p>May be change</p> <p>low- and middle-income</p> <p>breast self-examination self-efficacy</p> <p>self-exam</p> <p>The study</p> <p>These findings are</p>

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Valliappan
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India