

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Original Manuscript_AJFRN_117748   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Production of Cocoyam, Red kidney beans, And Mango based complementary foods, 1: Effects of Fermentation and Malting on proximate, functional, anti-nutrients and sensory properties |
| Type of the Article      | Research   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Yes the script is related to the scientific work</p> <p>2. Yes "<i>Development of Cocoyam, Red Kidney Bean, and Mango-Based Complementary Foods: Impact of Fermentation and Malting on Nutritional, Functional, Anti-Nutrient, and Sensory Characteristics</i>" is looking good.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | <p>The English need to improve and the results are new.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>Discussions needs some improvement for better reflection of the results.</p>  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Paranthaman Ramamoorthy   |
| Department, University & Country | Vignan's Foundation for Science, Technology and Research, India |