



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I have thoroughly reviewed the paper and observed the reviewers' comments, as well as the authors' responses.2. I noticed that the second reviewer suggested implementing APA style, which the authors seem to have overlooked. Additionally, the second reviewer mentioned the need for more specific explanations of the data in the comments section of the paper, but no corrections have been made in this regard. Overall, the paper seems to encompass the necessary elements of an academic study.3. However, I believe it is important to consider the second reviewer's comments to ensure that the efforts of the reviewers are not in vain.4. I see no issue with publishing the paper in its current state, but I strongly recommend taking the second reviewer's comments into account. This will contribute to the journal's strength and the quality of its academic publications.	<p>Noted with thanks</p> <p>All listed references have been revised in APA style of referencing. Additionally, more specific explanations of the data have been included in the respective subheadings under Result section.</p> <p>Noted with thanks</p> <p>Noted with thanks</p>