

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJDS_117907
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF AN EDUCATION INTERVENTION FOR PARENTS TO IMPROVE ORAL HEALTH OF CHILDREN IN VIENTIANE PROVINCE, LAO P.D.R.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, but the authors really need to do more research on the Oral health surveys among the South East Asia preschooler, it is very clear in the writing that the authors did not do enough literature review.</p> <p>No. When the author stated 'Development of educational intervention....' I don't see any development of novel intervention in the text and the author should have included the questionnaires they used in their study.</p> <p>No, I suggest the author to rephrase some sentences and provide a more concise abstract of their overall study</p> <p>They are fine but the authors should have place ethical approval section before they even conduct the participants selection &amp; examination. The numbering of sections also should be careful not to clash with references numbering.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, more literatures needed.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes but there are some grammatical mistakes and I suggest the authors to go through proofreading first before resubmitting the corrected version</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Seng-Boon Chu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia</b>