

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_118673
Title of the Manuscript:	PRIORITIZING INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT TO BOOST REGIONAL GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT (GDP) IN SIMALUNGUN REGENCY, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It's appropriate lacks with research design used and period of study also missing.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Correct</p> <p>Many references are missing at the reference section. Firdausyiah (2023), Arikunto (2010) and Nuriman (2021) are missing at the end of the study in the references section.</p> <p>In ABSTRACT section research design used in the study is missing also missing the period of study. The respondents other than the occupying Govt. Positions like farmers or home makers may be selected more to get the authenticate data or genuine data.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, subject to revision as suggested in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The international standard of indicating the currency is missing in the paper. It is mandatory to indicate the same as it lacks readability.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laxman Maharu Ahire
Department, University & Country	ICAR-National Academy Of Agricultural Research Management, India