

# 1 An Economic Analysis of Vellore GI Spiny Brinjal in Organic and Inorganic Vegetable Farming

## 4 ABSTRACT

5 This study investigates the economic analysis of Vellore GI Spiny Brinjal cultivated through both organic  
6 and inorganic farming methods in Vellore district, Tamil Nadu. Organic farming systems have gained  
7 popularity due to their sustainability benefits and resource conservation, which can reduce output costs  
8 by 10% to 30% compared to conventional farming. Vellore GI Spiny Brinjal, recognized for its unique  
9 characteristics and recent Geographical Indication (GI) tag, serves as a focal point for this analysis. The  
10 study collected primary data from 25 organic and 25 inorganic farmers, as well as from various market  
11 participants. Cost and return analyses revealed that the total cultivation cost per acre is Rs. 90,482 for  
12 organic and Rs. 77,322 for inorganic methods. Despite higher cultivation costs, organic farming proved  
13 more profitable with a Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) of 1.59 compared to 1.35 for inorganic farming.  
14 Marketing channel analysis showed that the primary distribution pathways involved wholesalers and  
15 retailers, with organic brinjal channels displaying higher efficiency. Price spread and marketing  
16 efficiency calculations indicated that Channel II was the most efficient for both farming methods due to  
17 fewer intermediaries and lower consumer prices. Overall, the study underscores the economic viability  
18 of organic farming for Vellore GI Spiny Brinjal, highlighting its potential for higher profitability and  
19 marketing efficiency.

20 *Keywords: Spiny brinjal, Organic farming, Inorganic farming, Geographical Indication*

## 21 INTRODUCTION:

22 In recent years, organic farming systems have gained increasing popularity due to their perceived  
23 benefits within the agricultural sector. These systems offer potential advantages such as enhanced  
24 sustainability, the conservation of non-renewable resources, and a focus on environmental preservation  
25 (Charyulu & Dwivedi, 2016). Organic farming has several benefits over current agricultural methods,  
26 which attract farmers worldwide. By promoting and enhancing biological processes, organic farming  
27 replaces the use of artificial technologies like chemicals or genetically modified organisms. Organic  
28 farming may save output costs by 10% to 30% compared to conventional farming in irrigated areas.  
29 The higher costs of organic products may make up for the yield differences between conventional and  
30 organic farming, which were either negligible or comparable (Fess & Benedito, 2018).

31 Worldwide, there is growing worry over environmental degradation and food safety as a result of  
32 chemical contamination. Consumers are thus demanding safer, healthier foods that are produced by  
33 more natural, ecological local systems. As a response to the need for creating sustainable agricultural  
34 alternatives, such organic farming, the Food and agricultural Organization (FAO) suggested "The World  
35 Food Summit Plan of Action (1999) (Malla, Rosyara, Neupane & Sapkota, 2021).

36 Brinjal is the most common tropical vegetable grown in India. In recent years, brinjal has become more  
37 common in gardens. The fruits are available practically throughout the year. India is the second-largest  
38 producer of brinjal after China (Choudhary & Gaur, 2009). Tamil Nadu ranks 8th in overall production  
39 of brinjal, contributing 2.77% of the total share. The estimated total world production of eggplants in  
40 2021 was 58,646,098 metric tonnes, up by 2.2% from 57,378,561 tonnes in 2020 (FAOSTAT).

41 Vellore GI Spiny Brinjal is a prized brinjal variety cultivated in the Vellore district of Tamil Nadu. It  
42 possesses distinct physical characteristics, including small spines on the skin and a slightly bitter taste,  
43 which is a preferred attribute among local consumers. This particular variety of brinjal is mainly grown  
44 in Tamil Nadu, especially in the Vellore District region (Nanthakumar & K Savitha, 2021). The  
45 Government of India has recognized officials from the Tamil Nadu Horticulture Department who have  
46 started the process of obtaining the much-desired Geographical Indication (GI) tag for the "spiny brinjal"  
47 known as "Ilavambadi Mullu Kathirikkai[19-21]." The Vellore Spiny Brinjal was conferred the GI tag on  
48 February 21, 2023. This study examines the economic implications of cultivating Vellore GI Spiny Brinjal  
49 using both organic and inorganic farming methods.

50 This study seeks to conduct an economic analysis comparing the cultivation of Vellore spiny brinjal  
51 through organic and inorganic farming methods. By examining key economic indicators such as  
52 production costs, yields, market prices, and profitability, this research aims to provide valuable insights  
53 into the financial viability and sustainability of both farming approaches.

54 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

55 This deals with the materials and research methodology used in the current study, detailing the selection  
56 of the study area, data collection, and analytical techniques. The research methodology is outlined  
57 under the following subheadings: Study Area, Data Collection, and Analytical Procedures.

58 **2.1 Study area:**

59 The current study focused on the Anaicut, K.V. Kuppam, and Gudiyatham blocks in Vellore, which were  
60 deliberately chosen because they have large areas dedicated to cultivating spiny brinjal. This selection  
61 was made to gather detailed information about the spiny brinjal specific to the Vellore district.

62 **2.2 Collection of Data**

63 This study will conduct a comparative economic analysis to evaluate the profitability of cultivating  
64 Vellore GI Spiny Brinjal both using organic and inorganic farming methods. Primary data will be obtained  
65 from interviews with 25 organic farmers and 25 inorganic farmers within the designated study area.  
66 Additionally, Marketing data will be collected from 10 wholesalers, 20 retailers, and 20 consumers in  
67 both organic and inorganic markets. Secondary data will be sourced from government reports,  
68 agricultural publications, and market data on Vellore GI Spiny Brinjal prices.

69 **3. ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE**

70 **3.1 Cost and return analysis:**

71 To reveal the profitability in farming activity cost and return analysis was carried out. Cost of cultivation  
72 of crops includes both fixed and variable costs. The fixed cost includes land revenue and taxes, rental  
73 value of the land, depreciation and interest on fixed capital and insurance. The variable costs include  
74 the cost of labour, seeds, manures, fertilizer, plant protection chemicals, irrigation charges and interest  
75 on working capital to be used (Sen & Weekly, (1979), Rahman, Kabir, & Khan, (2016) , Kumar &  
76 Agarwal, (2018) & Sharma & Singh, (2020)).

77 **3.2 Price spread analysis**

78 Price spread is the difference between price paid by consumers and net price received by producer for  
79 an equivalent quantity of farm produce. It expressed as percentage of consumer's price (Raman &  
80 Umanath, (2016), Berkile, More & Waghmare, (2019) & Sharma & Sharma, (2019)).

81 
$$\text{Farmer's share in consumer rupee} = \frac{\text{Net price received by the producer}}{\text{Consumers price}} \times 100$$

84 **3.3 Marketing channels**

85 In the research area, it was discovered that the marketing of spiny brinjal primarily occurs through the  
86 following channels:

87 **3.3.1 Organic Brinjal**

88 Channel – I Producer → Wholesaler → Retailer → Consumer

89 Channel – II Producer → Retailer → Consumer

90 **3.3.2 Inorganic Brinjal**

91 Channel – I Producer → Village Trader → Wholesaler → Retailer → Consumer

92 Channel – II Producer → Wholesaler → Retailer → Consumer

93 **3.4 Marketing efficiency**

94 Marketing efficiency was calculated using Shepherd's approach (Shende & Meshram, 2015). It can be  
95 given as,

96 
$$M.E. = CP / (PC + C + A_{mi})$$

97 Where, M.E. = Market efficiency  
 98 CP = Consumer's purchase price  
 99 PC = Marketing cost of producer  
 100 C = Marketing cost of all the intermediaries involved in the channel  
 101 Ami = Market margin of the intermediaries involved in the channel

102 **4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

103 **4.1 Cost and Returns of Vellore GI spiny brinjal cultivation**

104  
 105 The cost of cultivation of Vellore Spiny Brinjal is presented in Table 1 and yield and returns are  
 106 presented in Table 2.

107 **Table 1. Cost of Vellore spiny brinjal cultivation**

108 (Rs. /ac)

S.No.	Particulars	Organic Brinjal farming		Inorganic Brinjal farming	
		Cost (Rs.)	Per cent to total	Cost (Rs.)	Per cent to total
I	Variable cost				
1	Human labour	21090	23	19006	25
2	Machine Labour	3939	4	3437	4
3	Seeds	2010	2	2000	3
4	Irrigation	4000	4	1184	2
5	Organic manures(FYM)	4250	5	3325	4
6	Organic pest control	28916	32	-	
7	Inorganic fertilizers	-		11420	15
8	Plant protection chemicals	-		13210	17
9	Interest on working capital @7%	2312	3	3489	5
	Total Variable cost	66517	74	57071	74
II	Fixed cost				
1	Rental value of land	8010	9	6512	8
2	Land revenue	390	0	350	0
3	Imputed value of family labour	3200	4	3050	4
4	Interest on owned capital@10%	2300	3	2100	3
5	Depreciation on fixed capital	1840	2	1210	2
6	Interest on fixed capital@10%	8225	9	7029	9
	Total fixed cost	23965	26	20251	26
III	Total cost (I+II)	90482	100	77322	100

110 (Figures in parentheses denotes the per cent to total)

111 Source: Primary data, 2023-2024

112 Brinjal is a labour-intensive crop. The labour cost for one acre is Rs. 25,029 for organic farming, and  
 113 for inorganic farming, the labour cost was Rs. 22,443. The variable cost includes costs of human labour,  
 114 machine labour, seeds, irrigation, organic manures (FYM), organic pest control, inorganic fertilizers,  
 115 plant protection chemicals, and interest on working capital at 7%. The total variable cost is Rs. 66,517  
 116 for organic farming and Rs. 57,071 for inorganic farming.

117

118 The fixed cost includes the rental value of land, land revenue, the inflated value of family labour, interest  
 119 on owned capital at 10%, depreciation on fixed capital, and interest on fixed capital at 10%. The total  
 120 fixed cost is Rs. 23,965 for organic farming and Rs. 20,251 for inorganic farming.  
 121 The total cost of cultivation is the sum of the total variable cost and the total fixed cost. The total cost of  
 122 cultivating organic farming on one acre is Rs. 90,482. Inorganic farming has a cultivation cost of Rs.  
 123 77,322.

124 **Table 2. Yield and returns of Vellore spiny brinjal cultivation**

Particulars	Organic brinjal (Rs./ac)	Inorganic brinjal (Rs./ac)
Yield (Kg)	3600	5500
Average price (Rs./Kg)	40	19
Gross income	144000	104500
Net return	61743	34208
Benefit- Cost Ratio (BCR)	1.59	1.35

125 *Source: Primary data, 2023-2024*

126 The average yield of Vellore spiny brinjal per acre is 3600 kg for organic brinjal and 5500 kg for inorganic  
 127 brinjal, with an average price per kg of Rs. 40 for organic brinjal and Rs. 19 for inorganic brinjal. The  
 128 gross return and net return of organic brinjal per acre are Rs. 1,44,000 and Rs. 61,743, respectively,  
 129 while for inorganic brinjal, they are Rs. 1,04,500 and Rs. 34,208, respectively. The Benefit-Cost ratio  
 130 indicates the return of each rupee investment in Spiny Brinjal cultivation. The BC ratio is 1.59 for organic  
 131 brinjal and 1.35 for inorganic brinjal, indicating that the cultivation of organic spiny brinjal is more  
 132 profitable compared to inorganic brinjal. These finding are similar in line with the results of Tomar, Kumar  
 133 & Singh, (2018) where returns from organic farming were much higher than inorganic farming. This  
 134 finding is supported by Hoq, Raha, & Sultana, (2012) which showed that the benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of  
 135 bitter gourd is more profitable.

#### 136 **4.2 Marketing channel of Vellore spiny brinjal**

137 Following important channels of distribution have been observed while studying the marketing of Vellore  
 138 spiny brinjal.

139 Organic Brinjal

140 Channel – I Producer → Wholesaler → Retailer → Consumer

141 Channel – II Producer → Retailer → Consumer

142 Inorganic Brinjal

143 Channel – I Producer → Village Trader → Wholesaler → Retailer → Consumer

144 Channel – II Producer → Wholesaler → Retailer → Consumer

145 The proportion of quantity sold through Channel I was 63 per cent, followed by Channel II at 37 per  
 146 cent, respectively, for organic brinjal. For inorganic brinjal, Channel I accounted for 74 per cent, followed  
 147 by Channel II at 26 per cent. During the course of the investigation, it was observed that Channel I was  
 148 the major distribution channel, followed by Channel II, for both organic and inorganic spiny brinjal. The  
 149 studies by Dastagiri et al., (2013) also confirmed that the Producer-Wholesaler-Retailer-Consumer is  
 150 the predominant marketing channel for most crops. They found the highest marketing efficiency in the  
 151 direct producer-to-consumer channel.

152

153

#### 154 **4.3 Price spread of Vellore spiny brinjal**

##### 155 **4.3.1 Spiny organic brinjal**

156 In Channel-I, the marketing cost incurred by the producer was Rs. 240. The wholesaler incurred a  
 157 marketing cost of Rs. 260 and received a margin of Rs. 18,260. The retailer incurred a marketing cost

158 of Rs. 280, while the margin was Rs. 25,480. The total marketing cost and marketing margin of this  
 159 channel were Rs. 780 and Rs. 43,740, respectively.

160

**Table 3. Price spread organic brinjal**

S.No.	Particulars	Channel I	channel II
1	Producer		
	Producer Sale Price	144000	144000
	Transport Cost	240	
	Marketing Cost	240	
2	Wholesaler		
	Purchase Price	144000	
	Transport Cost	260	
	Marketing Cost	260	
	Sale Price	162000	
	Marketing Margin	18260	
	Retailer		
	Purchase Price	187200	176400
Transport Cost	280	260	
Marketing Cost	280	260	
Sale Price	216000	198000	
Marketing Margin	29080	39860	
3	Consumer Purchase Price	216000	198000
	Price Spread	72000	54000
	Farmer's Share In Consumer Rupee (%)	66.7%	72.7%

Source: Primary data, 2023-2024

161

162

**4.3.2 Price spread inorganic brinjal**

163 Price spread includes the expenses incurred, losses during transit, and the margins of various  
 164 intermediaries, all of which ultimately influence the overall efficiency of the marketing system. This has  
 165 been calculated for spiny brinjal and is shown in Table 4.

166 In Channel-I, the producer incurred marketing costs of Rs. 240. The village trader incurred marketing  
 167 costs of Rs. 240 and received a margin of Rs. 16,485. The wholesaler incurred marketing costs of Rs.  
 168 280 and received a margin of Rs. 22,280. The retailer incurred marketing costs of Rs. 300, while the  
 169 margin was Rs. 27,800. The total marketing costs and marketing margin of this channel were Rs. 1,080  
 170 and Rs. 66,568, respectively. A similar Producer's share was found in Manjunatha, Reddy, Hiremath,  
 171 Patil, & Patil, (2021), at 50.47%. Retailers and commission agents had higher margins than their  
 172 marketing costs.

173

**Table 4.: Price spread for Inorganic brinjal**

S.No.	Particulars	Channel I	channel II
1	Producer		
	Producer Sale Price	104500	115500
	Transport Cost	240	
	Marketing Cost	240	
2	Village Trader		
	Purchase Price	104500	
	Commission Charges	5225	
	Transport Cost	260	
	Marketing Cost	260	
	Sale Price	115500	
Marketing Margin	16485		
3	Wholesaler		
	Purchase Price	115500	115500
	Transport Cost	280	260
	Marketing Cost	280	260

	Sale Price	137500	137500
	Marketing Margin	22280	22260
4	Retailer		
	Purchase Price	137500	137500
	Transport Cost	300	280
	Marketing Cost	300	280
	Sale Price	165000	165000
	Marketing Margin	27800	27780
5	Consumer Purchase Price	165000	165000
	Price Spread	60500	49500
	Farmer's Share in Consumer Rupee (%)	63%	70%

Source: Primary data, 2023-2024

174  
175

#### 4.4 Marketing Efficiency

176 In this study marketing efficiency was calculated by using Shepherd's approach (Kalidas & Ravikumar,  
177 (2024).

178

**Table 5. Marketing efficiency of Organic and Inorganic spiny brinjal**

	Organic spiny Brinjal	Inorganic spiny brinjal
<b>Shepherd's approach</b>		
Channel- I	4.48	2.44
Channel- II	8.95	3.26

Source: Primary data, 2023-2024

179  
180  
181  
182  
183

In both methods, Channel II showed higher marketing efficiency due to the absence of intermediaries and lower consumer prices, making it more remunerative. Similar direct marketing approach is practiced among the Garlic Producers who turned into producer cum traders also and earn higher share from the consumers' rupee (Malla et al., 2021).

184

#### 5. CONCLUSION

185 The study examines the economic implications and marketing channels of cultivating Vellore GI Spiny  
186 Brinjal using organic and inorganic farming methods. It finds that organic farming offers greater  
187 profitability due to higher market prices and consumer preference for organic produce. The Benefit-Cost  
188 ratio is more favourable for organic farming (1.59) compared to inorganic farming (1.35), indicating its  
189 economic viability. The study identifies two main distribution channels for each farming method, with  
190 Channel I being the most prevalent. However, Channel II (Producer → Retailer → Consumer)  
191 demonstrates higher marketing efficiency, particularly for organic brinjal, due to reduced intermediaries  
192 and lower costs. The price spread analysis shows that organic brinjal has a higher farmer's share in the  
193 consumer rupee, reflecting better returns for producers compared to inorganic brinjal. The study  
194 concludes that the cultivation of Vellore GI Spiny Brinjal presents a lucrative opportunity for farmers in  
195 the Vellore district.

196

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

197

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

198

#### Author's contributions

199  
200

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

201

202

#### REFERENCES:

203  
204  
205

1. Berkile, M., More, S., Waghmare, Y. J. J. o. P., & Phytochemistry. (2019). Marketing cost, marketing margin and price spread of Brinjal in Latur district of Maharashtra state. 8(4), 2326-2328.

- 206 2. Charyulu, D. K., & Dwivedi, A. K. (2016). *Economics of organic farming vis-'vis conventional*  
207 *farming in India: SSRN.*
- 208 3. Choudhary, B., & Gaur, K. (2009). *The development and regulation of Bt brinjal in India*  
209 *(Eggplant/Aubergine): International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications*  
210 *Ithaca.*
- 211 4. Dastagiri, M., Chand, R., Immanuelraj, T., Hanumanthaiah, C., Paramsivam, P., Sidhu, R., . . .  
212 Chand, K. (2013). Indian vegetables: production trends, marketing efficiency and export  
213 competitiveness.
- 214 5. Fess, T. L., & Benedito, V. A. J. S. (2018). Organic versus conventional cropping sustainability: A  
215 comparative system analysis. *10(1)*, 272.
- 216 6. Hoq, M., Raha, S., & Sultana, N. (2012). Value addition in vegetables production, processing  
217 and export from Bangladesh.
- 218 7. Kalidas, K., Ravikumar, R. J. J. o. S. R., & Reports. (2024). Assessing Marketing Efficiency in the  
219 Coconut Value Chain: A Case Study of Western Tamil Nadu's Coconut Landscape. *30(5)*, 625-  
220 632.
- 221 8. Kumar, U., & Agarwal, P. J. I. J. o. A. S., ISSN. (2018). An economic analysis of brinjal cultivation  
222 in Birni block of Giridih district, Jharkahand. 0975-3710.
- 223 9. Malla, S., Rosyara, U., Neupane, B., Sapkota, B. J. F., & Review, A. E. (2021). Feasibility study of  
224 organic vegetable farming in Baitadi district. *1(2)*, 88-92.
- 225 10. Manjunatha, P., Reddy, B., Hiremath, G., Patil, S. S., & Patil, A. P. J. I. J. C. M. A. S. (2021).  
226 Production and marketing efficiency of non-notified vegetable-A case study of brinjal in  
227 Kalaburagi district of Karnataka. *10(03)*, 627-634.
- 228 11. Nanthakumar, S., & K Savitha, B. (2021). Yield Performance of Non-spiny Brinjal Variety VRM  
229 (Br) 2 in Northern Zone of Tamil Nadu, India.
- 230 12. Rahman, M., Kabir, H., & Khan, M. (2016). A study on brinjal production in Jamalpur district  
231 through profitability analysis and factors affecting the production.
- 232 13. Raman, M., & Umanath, M. (2016). Production and marketing of banana in Tiruchirapalli  
233 district of Tamil Nadu: an economic analysis.
- 234 14. Sen, S. J. E., & Weekly, P. (1979). Defence, Development and Administration: Some Obiter  
235 Dicta. 1688-1696.
- 236 15. Sharma, A., & Sharma, A. J. I. J. C. M. A. S. (2019). Marketing Pattern and Marketing Efficiency  
237 of Organic Large Cardamon and Ginger Spices Grown in East District of Sikkim, India. *8(5)*,  
238 1359-1368.
- 239 16. Sharma, M., Singh, K. J. J. o. P., & Phytochemistry. (2020). Brinjal: Economic study on the  
240 various cost and profit measures of Brinjal crop in Mau District of Uttar Pradesh. *9(5S)*, 432-  
241 434.
- 242 17. Shende, N., Meshram, R. J. A. I. J. o. R. i. F., Applied, & Sciences, N. (2015). Cost benefit analysis  
243 and marketing of tomato. *11(1)*, 46-54.
- 244 18. Tomar, S., Kumar, S., Singh, J. J. J. o. A. D., & Policy. (2018). A comparative economic analysis of  
245 organic and inorganic wheat in Punjab. *28(2)*, 114-123.
- 246 19. Jena , Ankit Kumar, Anshuman Jena, Sweta Sahoo, Smaranika Mohanty, and Gayatri Sahoo.  
247 2024. "Commercial Vegetable Growers' Socio-Economic Status on Usage of Social Media in  
248 Odisha, India". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 46 (2):99-107.  
249 <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i22312>.
- 250 20. Raj, J., Jat, S., Kumar , M., , R., & Yadav , A. (2024). The Role of Organic Farming in  
251 Sustainable Agriculture. *Advances in Research*, 25(3), 128-136.  
252 <https://doi.org/10.9734/air/2024/v25i31058>
- 253 21. Zhou J, Li B, Xia L, Fan C, Xiong Z. Organic-substitute strategies reduced carbon and reactive  
254 nitrogen footprints and gained net ecosystem economic benefit for intensive vegetable  
255 production. *Journal of cleaner production*. 2019 Jul 10;225:984-94.