

Efficacy and economics of selected insecticides against spotted pod borer, *Maruca vitrata* (Fab.) in cowpea

ABSTRACT

A field trial was conducted at Naini, Prayagraj during monsoon season 2023 at central research farm, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, in Randomized Block Design with eight treatments replicated thrice times were evaluated against *Maruca vitrata* i.e. Spinosad 45% SC, Azadirachtin 0.03% WSP (300 ppm), Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC, Niscosixer plus, *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15% WP, Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG, Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% and Control. Results revealed that, among the different treatments lowest larval population of cowpea spotted pod borer was recorded in Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG (0.95), Spinosad 45% SC (1.15) was found to be the next best treatment followed by Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC (1.35), Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% (1.64) and Niscosixer plus (1.75), *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15% WP (1.95) whereas Azadirachtin 0.03% WSP (300 ppm) (2.15) was found to be least effective against this pest. The plot treated with Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG show highest yield (36.66 q/ha) followed by Spinosad 45% SC (34.83 q/ha), Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC (33.66 q/ha), Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% (32.48 q/ha), Niscosixer plus (28.30 q/ha), *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15% WP (27.60 q/ha) and Azadirachtin 0.03% WSP (26.05 q/ha) as compared to control plot (16.85 q/ha). Among the treatments the best and most economical treatment was Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG (1:3.55) followed by Spinosad 45% SC (1:3.11), Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC (1:3.24), Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% (1:2.71), Niscosixer plus (1:2.39), *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15% WP (1:2.34) and Azadirachtin 0.03% WSP (1:2.16) as compared to control plot (1:1.20).

Keywords: Cost-benefit ratio, cowpea, Efficacy, Insecticides, Larval population, *Maruca vitrata*.

INTRODUCTION

“Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) is an important legume crop that belongs to family Leguminosae. It is having diploid number of chromosomes $2n = 22$. It is named as black-eye bean or Southern pea, chola,

lobia, barbati in various languages of in India. It is an annual herbaceous legume from the genus Vigna”(Konda and Kumar 2022).[1]

“The amount of protein in cowpea contains relatively high amounts of the essential amino acids, lysine and tryptophan, and thus usefully compliments the protein supply by cereals, in which the contents of lysine and tryptophan are relatively high. The protein content may probably reach 35%, consisting of 90% water soluble globulins and 10% water soluble albumins”[17-19]. “It is therefore, a cheap source of protein and carbohydrates that can be afforded even by the poor among the population”(Wahediet al., 2015).[2]

“In India, the major area under grain cowpea is mainly confined to the states of Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh and Kerala where, it is mainly sown as a mixed crop with other pulses and cereals”(Kumar and Tayde 2017).[3]“In India cowpea is grown over an area about 58000ha with an average production of 4.8 lakh tons and average productivity is 8.44 t/ha. During 2022-23 the total coverage under cowpea in Uttar Pradesh 16900 ha with a production will be around 113200 tones and the productivity 6.70t/ha”(Chauhan et al., 2023).[4]

“The spotted pod borer which is also known as *Maruca vitrata* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) is a serious pest of legumes in the tropics and subtropics because of its extensive host range, distribution and destructiveness, Which causes damage by webbing often leaf axils, flower buds and pods. There are about 21 insect pests of different groups that have been recorded damaging the cowpea crop from germination to maturity. Host range on various legume crops like pigeonpea, cowpea, mungbean and urdbean. greengram, blackgram, cowpea, pigeonpea and groundnut (legumes), daincha and sunhemp (green-manuring crops) have been reported to act as alternative hosts for *M. vitrata*”(Rani et al., 2014)[5]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment was carried out at the Central Research Farm of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, U.P. during *kharif* season 2023. Trail was laid out in randomised block design consisting of eight treatments including control. Each treatment was replicated three times using variety Kashikanchan in a plot size of (2 m × 1 m) maintaining 30 cm borders as a bund with total gross area 153 m² along with a recommended package of practices excluding plant protection. In the experiment, eight different treatments used viz., Spinosad 45% SC (150 ml/lit), Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC (50ml/lit) Niscosixer plus (1000ml/lit), *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15 % WP (1500gm/lit), Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG (150gm/lit), Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% (150ml+1250ml/lit), Azadirachtin 0.03% WSP (300ppm) (1500ml/lit) and Control. were tested to compare the efficacy against *Maruca vitrata* and their influences on yield of Cowpea.

“Pest population was estimated by observing five plants selected randomly from each treatment for presence of egg masses and larvae at one day prior to insecticide application and at 3rd, 7th and 14th days after each application. The percent infestation over control against Spotted podborer (*Maruca vitrata*) was calculated by considering the mean of three observations recorded at 3rd, 7th and 14th days after first and second spraying”. [20]

For larval population record,

$$\text{Larval population} = \frac{\text{Total no. of larva}}{5 \text{ randomly selected plant}} \text{ (Mohanty and Tayde., 2022) [6]}$$

Cost benefit ratio: -“The net benefit is obtained by subtracting the total cost of plant protection from total income. Benefit over the control for each sprayed treatment was obtained by subtracting the income of the control treatment from that of each sprayed treatment”. [20] The B:C ratio was calculated by formula:

$$\text{Gross return} = \text{Marketable yield} \times \text{Market price}$$

$$\text{Net return} = \text{Gross return} - \text{Total cost}$$

$$\text{C: B Ratio} = \frac{\text{Net return}}{\text{Total cost of cultivation}} \text{ (Jagtapet al., 2020) [7]}$$

Where,

CBR = Cost Benefit Ratio

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of the field trial with biopesticides and chemicals revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior over the control. (Table no.1). The data on the mean larval of spotted pod borer moth *Marucavitrata* in cowpea 3rd, 7th and 14th day after first spray revealed that the treatments were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments lowest population was recorded in Emamectin Benzoate 5%SG (0.95), Spinosad45%SC (1.15) was found to be the next best treatment followed by Profenofos 40%+ Cypermethrin 4%EC (1.35), Spinosad 45%SC + Neem oil 5% (1.64), Niscosixer plus (1.75), *Beauveriabassiana*1.15 %WP (1.95) and Azadirachtin 0.03%WSP (300ppm) (2.15) was found to be least effective but comparatively superior over the control. The highest yield was recorded in Emamectin Benzoate 5%SG (36.66q/ha) followed by Spinosad45%SC (34.83q/ha), Profenofos 40%+ Cypermethrin 4%EC (33.66q/ha), Spinosad45%SC + Neem oil 5% (32.48 q/ha), Niscosixer plus (28.30q/ha), *Beauveriabassiana*1.15 %WP (27.60q/ha) and Azadirachtin 0.03% WSP (300ppm) (26.05 q/ha) as compared to control plot (16.85q/ha). Among the treatments studied, the best and most economical treatment was Emamectin Benzoate 5%SG (1:3.55) followed by Spinosad45%SC (1:3.11), Profenofos 40%+ Cypermethrin 4%EC (1:3.24), Spinosad 45%SC + Neem oil 5% (1:2.71), Niscosixer plus (1:2.39), *Beauveriabassiana*1.15 %WP (1:2.34) and Azadirachtin 0.03%WSP (300ppm) (1:2.16) as compared to control plot (1:1.20).

Among all the treatments lowest population of *Marucavitrata* was recorded in Emamectin Benzoate 5%SG (0.95) Similar findings were made by **Patel et al.(2012)**,[8]Spinosad45%SC (1.15) is found to be the next best treatment which is in line with the findings of **Yadav et al.(2014)**,[9] who reported that Spinosad45%SC was found to be most effective in reducing larval population of *Marucavitrata*. Profenofos 40%+ Cypermethrin 4%EC (1.35) was the next effective treatment in reducing the population of *larva* which is in line with the findings of **Salunkhe and Dodia (2023)**,[10] **Muchhadiya et al. (2019)**,[11]and *Beauveriabassiana*1.15 %WP found to be least effective was found in findings of **Kumar and Tayde (2017)**,[3]Azadirachtin0.03%WSP (300ppm) (2.15) findings are supported by **Krishna and Kumar (2022)**[12]but comparatively superior over the control.

Among all the treatments studied, the best and most economical treatment was Emamectin Benzoate 5%SG (1:3.55) similar findings made by by **Singh et al.(2020)**,**[13]****Patel et al. (2023)****[14]** followed by Spinosad 45%SC (1:3.11) which is in line with the findings of **Meena et al.(2018)**,**[15]** The least benefit cost ratio was observed in Azadirachtin 0.03%WSP (300ppm) (1:2.16) similar findings made by **Kumar and Kumar (2023)****[16]** and but superior as compared to control plot (1:1.20).

From the above discussion, it concluded that among the tested insecticides, Emamectin Benzoate 5%SG recommended for most economic and effective management of the spotted pod borer, *Maruca vitrata* in cowpea.

Table-1 Efficacy and economics of selected insecticides against larval population of spotted pod borer in cowpea (overall mean)

S. No.	Name of treatments	Dosages	Larval population	Larval population of <i>M. vitrata</i> / 5 plants				Yield (q/ha)	(C:B) Cost benefit ratio
			(Day before spraying)	3 rd DAS	7 th DAS	14 th DAS	Mean		
T1	Spinosad 45%SC	150 ml/lit	2.60	1.66	1.00	0.80	1.15	34.83	1:3.11
T2	Azadirachtin 0.03% WSP (300ppm)	1500ml/lit	2.53	2.66	2.00	1.80	2.15	26.05	1:2.16
T3	Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4%EC	50ml/lit	2.67	1.86	1.20	1.00	1.35	33.66	1:3.24
T4	Niscosixer plus	1000ml/lit	2.80	2.26	1.60	1.40	1.75	28.30	1:2.39
T5	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.15 % WP	1500gm/lit	2.60	2.46	1.80	1.60	1.95	27.60	1:2.34
T6	Emamectin Benzoate 5 %SG	150gm/lit	2.53	1.46	0.80	0.60	0.95	36.66	1:3.55
T7	Spinosad 45%SC + Neem oil 5%	150ml+1250 ml/ lit	2.60	2.06	1.40	1.20	1.64	32.48	1:2.71
T8	control		2.93	3.13	3.53	3.73	3.46	16.85	1:1.20
F-test		NS	S	S	S	S		
C. D. (P= 0.05)		0.072	0.143	0.143	0.469	0.011.	

DBS- Day Before Spraying, DAS- Day After Spraying, NS- Non- Significant, S- Significant

Larval population




Figure 1: Efficacy and economics of selected insecticides against larval population of spotted pod borer in cowpea.

4. CONCLUSION

From the analysis of the present findings, it can be concluded that Emamectin Benzoate 5%SG is more effective in controlling population of cowpea spotted pod borer and provide reliable results, followed by Spinosad 45%SC was found to be the next best treatment followed by, combination of Profenofos 40%+ Cypermethrin 4%EC effective, Spinosad45% SC + Neem oil 5% these both components enhance the pest control, Niscosixer plus, *Beauveriabassiana*1.15 %WP and Azadirachtin 0.03%WSP (300ppm) in managing *Marucavitrata*. Among all the treatments, Emamectin Benzoate 5%SG gave the highest cost benefit ratio (1:3.55) and marketing yield (36.66q/ha) followed by Spinosad45%SC(1:3.11) and yield (34.83q/ha) Profenofos 40%+ Cypermethrin 4%EC (1:3.24 and 33.66q/ha), Spinosad45%SC+ Neem oil 5% (1:2.71 and 32.48 q/ha), Niscosixer plus (1:2.39 and 28.30 q/ha), *Beauveria bassiana*1.15 %WP (1:2.34 and 27.60 q/ha) and Azadirachtin 0.03% WSP (300ppm) (1:2.16 and 26.05 q/ha) as such more trials are required in future to validate the findings.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

REFERENCES

- 1)Konda SJD, Kumar A. Efficacy and economics of selected biopesticides against pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera*(Hubner)] on cowpea]. The Pharma Innovation Journal. (2022);11(5): 1395-1398.
- 2)Wahedi JA, Zakariya R, Danba EP, David DL, Mshelmbula BP, Buba U,Bello H. Ethnobotanical studies of the efficacy of five oils at graded levels on adult *Callosobruchus maculatus* reared on cowpea. International Journal of Research and Review.(2015) ; 2(8): 481-486.
- 3)Kumar K, Tayde AR. Comparative efficacy of selected botanicals and Novaluran against spotted pod borer [*Maruca vitrata*(Fabricius)] (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) on cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata*(L.) Walp.].Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.(2017);6(5): 540-542.
- 4)Chauhan A, Mehera B. Effect of zinc levels on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) L.) varieties. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2023; 35(8): 181-187.
- 5)Rani S, Rao GR, Chalam MSV, Patibanda AK, Rao VS. Summer season survey for incidence of *Maruca vitrata*(Geyer) (Pyralidae: Lepidoptera) and its natural enemies on green gram and other alternative hosts in main pulse growing tracts of Khammam District. JRes ANGRAU (2014);41:16- 20.
- 6) Mohanty S, Tayde A. Comparative efficacy of certain insecticides and biopesticides against chickpea pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera*(Hubner) on chickpea, *Cicer arietinum*(L.). International Journal of Plant and Soil Science.(2022); 34(22), 734-740.
- 7)JagtapTB, Ugale MV, Chavan VT. Incremental Cost Benefit Ratio (ICBR) & Economics of different biopesticides and insecticides treatments on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) for management of *Helicoverpa armigera*.(2020)
- 8)Patel SP, Patel SI, Panickar B, Ravindrababu Y. Management of Spotted Pod borer, *Maruca vitrata* in Cowpea Through Newer Insecticides. Trends in Biosciences. (2012) ;5 (2): 149-151.

- 9) Yadav NK, Singh PS. Bio-efficacy of chemical insecticides against spotted pod borer, *Maruca testulalis*(Geyer) on cowpea. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology.(2014); 7(1): 187-190.
- 10) Salunkhe SD, Dodia DA. Efficacy of different chemical, biorational and botanical pesticides against cowpea pod borer, *Maruca vitrata*Fabricius. The Pharma Innovation Journal.(2023); 12(9): 3038-3040.
- 11) Muchhadiya DV, Patel KG, Patel J J. Bio-efficacy of Insecticides against Pod Borers Infesting Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata*(L.)Walp.]Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences.(2020); 8(3): 678-684.
- 12) Krishna AG. Kumar A. Efficacy of insecticides and neem oil against spotted pod borer [*Marucavitrata*(Geyer)], on greengram [*Vigna radiate* (L.)].The Pharma Innovation Journal. (2022);11(7): 425-428.
- 13) Singh BK, Pandey R, Singh AK, Mishra MK, Singh SK, Gupta RP. Field efficacy of new generation insecticides for the management of spotted pod borer, *Maruca vitrata*(Fab.) in cowpea. International journal of plant protection. (2020);13 (1).
- 14) Patel RM, Patel HC, Mohapatra RA. Evaluation of Different insecticides against Spotted Pod Borer, *M. vitrata* Infesting Vegetable Cowpea. *Environment and Ecology*, (2023);41(4A): 2516-2525.
- 15) MeenaVP, Khinchi SK, Bairwa KD, Hussain A, Kumawat KC, Anvesh K. (2018) Bio-efficacy of Chemical Insecticides and Biopesticides against Gram Pod Borer, *Helicoverpa armigera*(Hubner) and Spotted Pod Borer, *Maruca testulalis*(Geyer) on Greengram, [*Vigna radiate* (L.) Wilczek] Legume Research- An International Journal.(2018).
- 16) Kumar SV, Kumar A. Evaluation of Selected Biopesticides with Chlorantraniliprole against Chickpea Pod Borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] at Prayagraj, India. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, (2023);13(9):785-790.
- 17) Sharma, Deepansha, and Ashwani Kumar. 2024. "Comparative Efficacy and Economics of Selected Chemicals and Biopesticides Against Pod Borer [*Helicoverpa Armigera* (Hubner)] on

Chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum L.*)”. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 36 (6):809-14.
<https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i64688>.

18) Mushinamwar, Dayana Ramesh, Usha Yadav, Biradar Bilal Gafar, and Sakshi Rajawat. 2024. “Efficacy of Selected Biopesticides Against Mustard Aphid, *Lipaphis Erysimi* (Kalt.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae)”. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology* 27 (6):702-7.
<https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i6930>.

19) Memon GZ, Bhangar MI, Akhtar M. The removal efficiency of chestnut shells for selected pesticides from aqueous solutions. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 2007 Nov 1;315(1):33-40.

20) Patil DV, Yadav U. Efficacy of Bio-pesticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata L.*). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2023; 12(5): 2191-2193
21.