

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Original Manuscript_JAMMR_117916   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES IN OPHTHALMOLOGY FOR RIVERSIDE PATIENTS: AN INTEGRATIVE LITERATURE REVIEW |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. The idea is interesting but it should be noted that this is a simple review article.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. It is</p> <p>4. The subsections are OK but my main concern is regarding the scope of the article (if the author(s) aimed at presenting a "review", it should at least be expanded via a couple of more innovative framework (like systematic review charts or graphic representations).</p> <p>5. It reviewed its targeted studies relatively well.</p> <p>6. The references are mostly recent but I think the number is still not sufficient.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | <p>The language is academic and comprehensible to the scholars in the field.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>My main concern is that the author applied more advanced methods of reviewing articles (other than only thematic classification of the works). Bibliometric analysis and systematic review tools can highly enrich it, I suppose.</p>  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                              |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Mohammad Amerian</b>      |
| Department, University & Country | <b>IRIB University, Iran</b> |