

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_117113</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>RESPONSE OF SUMMER GROUNDNUT VARIETIES AS INFLUENCED BY PLANT GEOMETRY.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Groundnut is an important oilseed crop of the country and the study on the suitability of varieties and planting density can add help the farmers follow scientific recommendations for higher yields</b></p> <p><b>Grammatical error should be corrected</b></p> <p>INFLUENCE OF VARYING PLANTING GEOMETRY ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF SUMMER GROUNDNUT VARIETIES</p> <p><b>No</b></p> <p><b>Appropriate</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Sufficient but to be presented properly in text</b></p> <p><b>The author has to refer scientific papers and rewrite the article as lot of errors are noticed in the structure and writing.</b></p> <p><b>Very carelessly written</b></p> <p><b>Reference formatting to be checked</b></p> <p><b>Cannot be accepted in this form</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No. <b>A THOROUGH GRAMMAR CHECK HAS TO BE MADE</b></p> <p>Formatting and presentation to be modified</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Technically sound but presentation very poor</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sheeba Rebecca Isaac
Department, University & Country	KAU, India