

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_118257
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different levels of zinc on productivity of various varieties of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript "Effect of different levels of zinc on productivity of various varieties of rice (<i>Oryza sativa L.</i>)" addresses the production potential of rice under different varieties and zinc levels application along with the economic viability of this cultivar for soil quality. As the increasing food requirement with the population, necessitate augmenting the food crops productivity. The present study is important for the scientific community who are thriving to achieve the targets related to augmentation of food crops.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Abstract is fine concise and well written.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct and having value to the relevant community.</p> <p>6. Good references are provided however; ,manuscript is lacking in latest references. All references are old. Therefore, it is suggested to provide more (latest within last 5 years) references covering the area pf research addressed in the present manuscript.</p> <p>Conclusion is the essence of the manuscript which is missing, It is advised to provide a Conclusion section. Provide specific conclusions based on concrete evidences. Manuscript is well written however, authors need to do above corrections: before acceptance of paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Manuscript requires extensive English grammar and language corrections. It must be checked preferably by a native English speaker.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Saleem
Department, University & Country	Jubail University College, Saudi Arabia