

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJSSPN_117989
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Genetic Variability: Unraveling Correlations through Path and multivariate analysis in finger millet (<i>Eleusine coracana</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Submission

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript strives to evaluate the genetic diversity in different genotypes of finger millet with a few to identify which of the genotypes can be advanced for genetic improvement.</p> <p>No, the title could be modified to “ Evaluation of genetic variability in different genotypes of finger millet (<i>Eleusine coracana</i> L.) through Path and multivariate analysis”</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The referees are sufficient but many of them are not recent.</p> <p>The author(s) should provide more information on the method used. Information such as spacing used, if fertilizers were utilized (the type of fertilizer used), and what protective methods were used to raise the crop.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maruf Yekeen
Department, University & Country	Morgan State University, United States