

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_IJBCRR_117768</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of UV-C radiation intensity on post-harvest quality of two eggplant varieties (Solanum aetipicum anguivi and Solanum macrocarpon)</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The paper is a very good attempt to optimize the UV-C radiation intensity on post-harvest quality of two eggplant varieties.. Such information may help in identification of gaps and framing out research needs.</p> <p>2. its appropriate..</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Its OK</p> <p>6. Most references in the section are OK. The authors must be advised to incorporate the suggested corrections marked within the text as per the moments.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript (MS) has a few errors of the English language. Its good for the scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Few changes have been marked within the text body of the MS in track changed mode as well as some other comments for improvements. The authors may be suggested to incorporate these for improvement in the manuscript (MS).</p> <p>Authors may be advised to resubmit only after these revisions in the MS.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chandra Kant Saxena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR-Central Institute of Agricultural Engineering, India</b>