

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_117592
Title of the Manuscript:	Ga-RBP: A Rule-based Parser for the Syntactic Analysis of the Ga Language of Ghana
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes. It contributes to the development of NLP resources for under-resourced languages like Ga, providing a valuable tool for syntactic analysis and parsing of Ga sentences. The creation of a Parts of Speech (POS) corpus and the rule-based technique 2. The title is suitable for the manuscript as it clearly conveys the main focus and purpose of the research. It effectively communicates that the study introduces a rule-based parser specifically designed for the syntactic analysis of the Ga language. 3. the abstract effectively summarizes the main objectives and contributions of the research, making it comprehensive for readers 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript likely serves a specific purpose in conveying the research methodology, results, and conclusions effectively to the readers. 5. Yes. scientific correctness of the paper is supported by the methodology, the development of the Ga-RBP, and the considerations for future research enhancement. 6. It can be a mix of recent and relevant references for improving the comprehensive overview. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It has both technical and formal words and suitable for scholarly communication 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some language Part of speech can be introduced in a table for easy learning for non-Ghana languagers.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. Rekha
Department, University & Country	Agurchand Manmull Jain College, India