

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJFAR_118009
Title of the Manuscript:	Heavy Metal Intake by Fishes of Different River Locations in Bangladesh: A Comparative Statistical Review
Type of the Article	Review Papers

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is interesting and provides relevant information for the aquatic area.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, but it should include the main conclusions of your study to provide readers with a concise overview. For instance, you could mention that the heavy metal concentrations exceeded local and international standards, as stated in the conclusion. Additionally, ensure that the selected keywords are present in the abstract to help readers quickly identify the content and subject matter of the article. The reviewer also recommends avoiding the use of "etc." in academic papers.</p> <p>Since this is a review paper and not original research, the manuscript should adhere to the subsections and structure outlined in the author guidelines for review papers.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes. The journal requires 50-150 references, and the manuscript provides 137 references, which is within the acceptable range. However, please ensure that the bibliography adheres to the reference style outlined in the journal guidelines.</p> <p>It is recommended to include the location name in Fig 1 to provide better information for readers who may not be familiar with the geography of Bangladesh. Please provide a discussion after the results for each table, rather than merely restating the numerical data. This will help interpret the findings and offer deeper insights into the implications of your results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript is quite interesting and provides relevant information for the aquatic field. However, some general points need improvement before it can be accepted for publication, particularly regarding the structure of the manuscript.</p> <p>The language is suitable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wahyu Sya'bani
Department, University & Country	Politeknik ATK Yogyakarta, Indonesia