

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_117446
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the Acute Toxic Effects of Urea Fertilizer on Juvenile Nile Tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, because it brings knowledge to the fish farmers on the safety of the raised tilapia fish and probably providing knowledge on the sources of growing fish feeds and ponds water treatment.</p> <p>The title is Ok but just with minor editing</p> <p>It needs revision as advised</p> <p>They are well structured; however, some information are wrongly placed and just need re – organization as advised in the manuscript</p> <p>The manuscript needs to be strengthened with relevant literature especially the introduction section. Complying to the comments provided will improve the work. Most importantly, the grammar, tense used when reporting the work and choice of simple and proper words</p> <p>The references are most recent. However, I think one reference can be replaced with the most recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It needs improvement, grammar check and lastly the paper must be proofread to rectify some typos and errors</p> <p>Scientific paper must communicate to the readers in a concise manner without using ambiguous word. Readers must get the meaning conveyed in the report. Use simple language and method of communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors should read more or be conversant with how the data and results section is reported.</p> <p>Should revise on how the subtitles are constructed and how the sampling protocol is applied during sample collection. When discard water to remove the fecal contamination; were the aseptic techniques followed?</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tijoy Lowore
Department, University & Country	Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resource, Malawi